RESEARCH ARTICLE





Retrospective case-series of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* ocular mycoses in Queensland, Australia

Liam Daniel Turner^{*} and Diana Conrad

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to report: (1) the varying presentation of Paecilomyces ocular infections arising in Queensland; (2) the significance of immunosuppression as a primary determinant of disease; (3) the outcomes of voriconazole use; and (4) the ongoing need for both surgical and medical management of this devastating fungal infection.

Methods: A retrospective case series of 21 culture proven individuals participated in this series and were identified via a review of the pathology reporting system utilized in the Queensland public health system. All culture proven individuals were subjected to a systematic chart review.

Results: The primary risk factor for Paecilomyces lilacinus infection is immunosuppression with 81.25 % of individuals being on some form of immunosuppression (i.e. systemic or topical). Of the cases 71.43 % had an intact epithelial surface at the time of diagnosis, and 76 % had no previous ocular history. The final visual outcomes were nine cases with HM vision or worse, three cases with 6/48–6/60 vision, three cases 6/12–6/24, and six cases with 6/12 vision or better. Despite voriconazole use rates of greater than 80 %, protracted and poor treatment outcomes continue to be commonplace.

Conclusions: Paecilomyces lilacinus is a filamentous fungus that has a predilection for immunosuppressed individuals. Despite in vitro and case reports demonstrating the effectiveness of voriconazole poor outcomes continue to be seen.

Keywords: Fungal infection, Paecilomyces, Voriconazole

Background

Paecilomyces is a filamentous saprophytic fungus that is found worldwide in soil, and as a contaminant in air and water. The fungus is typically resistant to multiple fungicidal agents, and was once considered primarily as a contaminate in culture due to its inherent resistance to available commercial sterile techniques [1, 2]. It is also found in fertilizers due to its bionematicidal effectiveness against nematodes, which threaten commercial vegetation [3]. The species include: *Paecilomyces lilacinus, Paecilomyces variotti, Paecilomyces marquadnii* and

*Correspondence: lturner22@hotmail.com

Ophthalmology Department, The Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, 10/87 Hampstead Road, Highgate Hill, Brisbane, QLD 4101, Australia *Paecilomyces javanicus*, the former two being the most common cause of disease in humans [4–7]. Infection with Paecilomyces species is most common in the setting of immunosuppression [8–11], both topical and systemic, with rates of 76 % corticosteroid use in patients with ocular mycoses prior to diagnosis [12]. Cases of Paecilomyces infection predominantly include ocular mycoses and mycoses of cutaneous or subcutaneous tissues [7]. Paecilomyces has been shown to cause disease elsewhere in the body, but its predilection for the ocular surface and skin is thought to be due to a thermal intolerance of the fungus, with the optimum temperature for growth and sporulation, somewhere in the vicinity of 20-25 °C [13].

Ocular infection with *Paecilomyces lilacinus* has previously been reported to occur in the setting of chronic



© 2015 Turner and Conrad. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

keratopathy, after previous ocular surgery, following corneal trauma, or with the use of soft contact lenses [12, 14]. The literature with respect to previous ocular surgery is somewhat skewed, with a large number of cases being reported in the early 1980s, occurring in the presence of contaminated intraocular lens implantation [15, 16]. A few cases exist within the literature, demonstrating the occurrence of Paecilomyces lilacinus infection in the setting of an intact epithelial surface [17-22]. These cases initially presented with presumed immune-mediated scleritis [21], nodular episcleritis [18], acute anterior uveitis [19, 20], endophthalmitis [20], and corneal stromal or endothelial inflammation [17]. It has been postulated that an endogenous spread of the organism may be the underlying source of infection in these cases with an intact epithelium [17, 20, 22, 23]. However, few reports exist that identify Paecilomyces lilacinus within the systemic vasculature. Paecilomyces lilacinus has been identified in blood cultures, primarily in the presence of indwelling venous catheters, which subsequently became sterile after removal of the device [8, 24.]

A review of previous cases identified within Queensland, Australia was conducted to demonstrate: [1] the varying presentation of Paecilomyces ocular infections arising in Queensland; [2] the significance of immunosuppression as a primary determinant of disease; [3] the outcomes of voriconazole use; [4] the ongoing need for both surgical and medical management of this devastating fungal infection; and [5] the need for protracted treatment.

Methods

A retrospective multi-centre case series was conducted of all culture proven cases of ocular *Paecilomyces lilacinus* occurring within Queensland Health between 2000 and 2012. Ethics approval was gained from the Queensland Health Central Health and Medical Research Human Ethics Committee. Research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. A search was undertaken of the electronic pathology system utilized by Queensland Health, namely Auslab and Auscare. A multi-centre study was conducted with cases coming from the two major referral centers within Queensland, The Princess Alexandra Hospital and The Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital. Search terms were *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and Paecilomyces species. Consent was obtained from participants for treatment undertaken.

Within the database a total of 135 cases of *Paecilomy*ces lilacinus infection were identified. All non-ocular Paecilomyces infections were excluded from this study, providing a total of 21 cases of ocular *Paecilomyces lilaci*nus infection with samples coming from corneal tissue, corneal scrapes, aqueous and vitreous biopsy. Specimens were transported in sterile containers or in syringes in the case of fluid specimens. Specimens were inoculated on Sabouraud's agar at 25 °C for up to 1 month. Identification was performed via phenotypic methods at local facilities. Some of these cases have been previously documented within the literature [18, 22]. Identified cases, were subjected to a systematic chart review. Information obtained during the review, included: name, record unit number, age, gender, resident location, specimen type, date of collection, date of presentation, initial diagnosis, actual diagnosis, risk factors, initial treatment and management prior to recognition of fungal infection, elapsed time before positive diagnosis, continued treatment both medical and surgical, outcome of management (i.e. resolution of infection, enucleation, phthisical eye), final visual acuity, duration of follow-up and previous ocular history.

Data obtained from the chart review were analysed, formulating simple descriptive statistics, utilising RCommander Version 2.15.2 GUI 1.53.

Results

The case series consisted of 6 females and 15 males with a mean age of 52.48 years (SD = 17.51; range = 19.0–76.0) (Refer to Table 1—cases). The average distance from Brisbane Central Business District (CBD) was 340 km (SD = 578.94, range = 12.6–1755.0). The average duration of follow-up from the time of initial diagnosis to last review was 28.19 months (SD = 38.52; range = 1.0-144; median = 7 months). Sixteen (76 %) of the patients had no previous history of ocular disease or surgery, with *Paecilomyces lilacinus* infection being the initial presenting problem for all these cases. Of the remaining five cases, two had a history of myopia and soft contact lens wear, two were bilateral pseudophakic, with one also having had a previous retinal detachment managed with scleral buckle, and one had a previous history of scleritis.

The primary risk factor for Paecilomyces infection was immunosuppression, with 50 % of patients being on systemic immunosuppression (i.e. corticosteroids, cyclophosamide, azathioprine, sulfasalazine and methotrexate), 31.25 % on topical immunosuppression (i.e. dexamethasone, prednisolone, fluoromethalone), 12.5 % having exposure to organic material and 12.5 % wearing soft contact lenses (one of the patients who wore soft contacts was also treated with topical steroids). Initial presenting diagnoses for patients included: 6 with scleritis/episcleritis, 1 acute anterior uveitis, 2 with presumed toxoplasmosis, 3 with foreign body induced keratitis, 2 with contact lens associated keratitis, 1 with herpetic interstitial keratitis, 1 with endogenous endophthalmitis, and 1 with an endothelial plaque with an uncertain diagnosis, and 3 patients had no clear diagnosis at presentation.

Table 1 Cases							
Case/identified (year)	Site	Presentation	lmmunosuppression/risk factor	Specimen	Past ocular history	Comorbidities	Treatment
1 (2000)	РАН	Hypopyon	Suspected trauma + PO + topical steroids	Corneal biopsy/aqueous fluid	6 months prior biopsy of sclera taken for lesion — showed chronic inflam- mation lead to treatment with topical and PO steroids	Ĩ	AC tap × 3 + corneal biopsy. IV amphotericin + topical natomycin. Intracameral amphotericin × 4. Enu- cleation
2 (2001)	RBWH	Endophthalmitis	PO prednisolone, cyclopho- samide, methotrexate	Vitreous fluid	 months prior treated for anterior necroitzing scleritis. Subsequently developed endophthal- mitis 	ĨZ	Vitreous tap performed. Commenced topical natomycin, PO itracona- zole, and PPV/AC washout/ intravitreal amphotericin. Intravitreal × 4 ampho- tericin + IV. PO voricona- zole. Enucleation
3 (2001)	RBWH	AC and anterior vitreous inflammation	PO steroids	Vitreous fluid	Ē	Ulcerative colitis	Presumed inflammatory sec- ondary to underlying auto- immune illness. Treated with 2 weeks of topical steroids prior to referral. PPV/vitreous biopsy/intra- vitreal amphotericin/cef- tazadime/vancomycin + IV of all three. 2 × further in travitreal amphotericin. Repeat PPV/intravitreal amphotericin + vanco- mycin
4 (2002)	ЧЧ	AC and anterior vitreous inflammation	Topical + PO steroids. Park tractor mower operator	Vitreous fluid	Ē	ĪZ	AC tap performed. Com- menced IV, intravitreal and topical amphotericin. PPV/lensectomy/intra- vitreal amphotericin. PO voriconazole. Repeat PPV/ Intravitreal amphotericin. PK/iridectomy/washout/ amphotericin

8
ē
2
Ē
ō
U
5
-
å

Case/identified (year)	Site	Presentation	lmmunosuppression/risk factor	Specimen	Past ocular history	Comorbidities	Treatment
5 (2002)	PAH	Deep stromal infiltrate	Vegetative matter versus eye + topical steroids	Aqueous fluid	Ē	Ē	FB versus eye. Treated with topical steroids + antibiot- ics. Proceeded to develop deep stromal infitrate. Presumed fungal keratitis. Corneal scrape + AC tap. Commenced topical nata- mycin + amphotericin and PO itraconazole. Multiple repart cultures (corneal biopsy x 2). IV ampho- tericin + PO voriconazole. PK
6 (2002)	РАН	Endothelial plaque 2.4 mm × 1.8 mm	ĪŽ	Vitreous fluid	Ī	ĒZ	Aqueous tap showed fungal hyphae. Natamy- cin topical, itraconazole PO + amphotericin IV sclerotomy/ritectomy. Recurrence lead to PV// initravitreal amphotericin. Amphotericin topical and voriconazole PO
(2002) 7	РАН	Anterior chamber reaction	Z	Vitreous fluid	Ĩ	ĒZ	Red painful eye plus AC reaction. Steroid challenge. Deteriorated to endothelial change. Corneal biopsy. PK. IV amphotericin. PPV/ lensectomy/iridectomy/ intravitreal amphotericin. Repeat PK. PO voriconazole
8 (2004)	РАН	Interstitial keratitis	Metal worker + topical and PO steroids	Corneal biopsy	ĒZ	Ĩ	AC tap. Topical natomy- cin + PO itraconazole. Corneal biopsy. Topical voriconazole. PK/rir- dectomy. Topical + PO voriconazole
9 (2004)	RBWH	Keratitis	Vegetative matter versus eye	Corneal scrape	Nil	Į.	Corneal scrape. Treated with topical gentamicin and ceftazidime. Improved without antifungals

σ
Ð
5
2
Ξ
È
ō
Ũ
· ·
Ð
¥
5

Case/identified (year)	Site	Presentation	lm munosuppression/risk factor	Specimen	Past ocular history	Comorbidities	Treatment
10 (2004)	РАН	Keratoscleritis	Nij	Corneal biopsy	Nij	Zil	Topical natomycin. PO fluconazole + voricona- zole. Corneoscleral graft/ anterior vitrectomy
11 (2006)	НА	Deep stromal infiltrate	Topical steroids	Aqueous fluid	Seen by multiple ophthal- mologists. Red, painful eye treated with topical steroids	Ē	Developed deep stromal inflitrate with no epithelial defect. Corneal scrape. Commenced on PO and topical voriconazole. AC Tap. Repeat Corneal scrape Corneal biopsy 3 mm. Corneoscleral graft/ AC voriconazole. Topical natomycin. Phaco/IOL
12 (2008)	РАН	Scleritis	Topical + PO predniso- Ione + PO cyclophosa- mide	Corneal biopsy	Bisphosphonate scleritis	Osteoporosis	Voriconazole PO + topi- cal + corneal biopsy and aqueous tap. Amphotericin intracameral. PK + intraca- meral amphotericin. 3 × PKs, 1 corneoscleral graft/ iridectomy/lensectomy. 15 × intracameral voricona- zole. Enucleation
13 (2008)	РАН	FB (grass tree) to eye	Topical steroids	Corneal biopsy	Bilateral pseudophakic	Ē	Initially treated with topical offoxacin post FB (improved). Topical steroids commenced lead to worsening of symptoms. AC tap, repeat AC tap and corneal scrape. Vori- conazole PQ, topical and intracameral. PK + intraca- meral voriconazole. Repeat PK + IV, intracameral, intravitreal amphotericin, PO terbinafine and topical natomycin added
14 (2009)	RBWH	Vitritis? Toxoplasmosis	Ni	Vitreous fluid	Zi	ĪZ	Vitreous Tap × 2. Second showed filamentous fungi. Topical, intravitreal × 2, PO voriconazole + PO terbinafine. PPV/intravitreal amphotericin

Case/identified (year)	Site	Presentation	Immunosuppression/risk factor	Specimen	Past ocular history	Comorbidities	Treatment
15 (2009)	RBWH	Vitritis? Toxoplasmosis	Works with organic matter	Vitreous/aqueous fluid	Bilateral pseudophakic. Right RRD (Buckled). 4 months prior diagnosed with Toxoplasmo- sis. Treated with PO pyrimethamine + sul- fadiazine	N	Relapse lead to vitre- ous tap + intravitreal ceftazadime/vancomy- cin/triamcinolone. 5 × intravitreal, topical + PO voriconazole. 2 × PPV
16 (2009)	RBWH	Presumed contact lens associated microbial keratitis	Contact lens wearer	Corneal scrape	Myope	Zil	Corneal scrape performed. Fungal hyphae identified. Topical voriconazole
17 (2009)	РАН	Pseudomonas contact lens associated keratitis	Contact lens wearer, topical steroids	Corneal scrape	2-month prior treated successfully for culture positive pseudomonas contact lens associated microbial keratitis. Topical steroids commenced lead to worsening of symptoms	īZ	Corneal scrape + AC tap. Fungal elements identi- fied. Topical natamycin + voriconazole topical and PO. PK + intracameral vori- conazole. 7 × intracameral voriconazole. PO terbin- afine + posaconazole (due to LFT derangement by voriconazole). Glaucoma— cyclodiode × 2. Cataract extraction
18 (2009)	РАН	Acute anterior uveitis	Sulfasalazine, azathioprine	Vitreous fluid	Nodular episcleritis treated 6 month earlier with topi- cal FML and Prednefrin forte	Crohn's disease	Presented with AC inflam- mation treated with topical Prednefrin forte. Did not improve lead to vitreous tap. Fungal elements seen on tap. PO voriconazole. PPV + intravitreal voricona- zole, amphorericin. Went onto have Phaco/IOL

Case/identified (year)	Site	Presentation	lmmunosuppression/risk factor	Specimen	Past ocular history	Comorbidities	Treatment
(010)	RBWH	Keratoscleritis	PO prednisolone + topi- cal steroid. Tropical fruit farmer	Corneal biopsy	4 months prior treated with topical and PO steroid + PO NSAID for nodular scleritis	Ē	Progressive disease leading to corneal involvement. Infection suspected. Corneal biopsy. PO, topical voriconazole commenced. PK. Recurrence. Corneo- scleral graft. Phaco/wash- out/intracameral vori- conazole. Iridectomy/PPV/ intravitreal voriconazole + amphotericin. Patient underwent weekly then 2nd weekly intravitreal voriconazole for 6 months as unable to tolerate PO voriconazole. Sutured IOL with artificial iris
20 (2012)	RBWH	Keratitis	Topical steroid	Corneal biopsy/aqueous fluid	2 months prior treated for AAU. Failed to attend follow-up	ĒZ	Represented with AC inflam- mation with deep corneal involvement. Biopsy + AC tap. PO + topical vori- conazole. 8 × intracameral voriconazole. Topical natamycin
21 (2012)	RBWH	Endophthalmitis	PO + topical steroid + cyclophosamide	Aqueous fluid	6 months prior treated for diffuse anterior scleritis	Type 2 diabetes	Developed dense AC reac- tion. AC tap performed. PO + topical + intracameral × 7 voriconazole, IV ampho- tericin. Enucleation

The time to positive diagnosis of Paecilomyces lilacinus infection was on average 12.75 days (SD = 9.51; range = 2-40). With final diagnoses for patients including: 6 fungal endophthalmitis (28.57 %), 9 fungal keratitis (42.86 %), 4 fungal keratoscleritis (19.05 %), 1 fungal keratitis leading to endophthalmitis (4.76 %), and 1 fungal keratoscleritis leading to endophthalmitis (4.76 %). Of these cases, 15 had an intact epithelial surface (71.43 %) and 5 had a compromised epithelium (23.81 %). Medical management consisted of voriconazole, which was prescribed orally in 17 cases, followed by amphotericin, which was given intravenously in 7 cases (Refer to Table 2-antifungal agent use). Some individuals required greater than 15 intravitreal injections of voriconazole in order to assist in resolution of the infection. One case of fungal keratitis, secondary to a foreign body, resolved without antifungal agents, solely with the use of ceftazidime and gentamicin. Of the 21 cases, 18 cases (85.7 %) required surgical intervention in order to help resolve the infection. Surgical intervention included, 8 cases, which underwent penetrating keratoplasty and 9 cases, which received a pars plana vitrectomy (PPV). Of those receiving a penetrating keratoplasty, 5 required at least a second penetrating keratoplasty, with one individual having a total of 4 grafts. Of the cases receiving PPV, 4 cases of the group went onto have a second PPV (Refer to Table 3-surgical intervention). The final outcome for treatment, included 14 cases with resolution of infection (66.67 %), 4 cases with enucleation (19.05 %) and 3 cases with phthisis (14.29 %). The final visual outcomes were 9 cases with HM vision or worse, 3 cases with 6/48-6/60 vision, 3 cases 6/12-6/24, and 6 cases with 6/12 vision or better (Refer to Table 4-visual outcomes).

Discussion

Australia appears to have a high number of Paecilomyces lilacinus ocular infections in comparison to other parts of the world [7, 22]. Infection has typically been reported to arise in individuals with chronic ocular disease, contact lens use or where the integrity of the eye has been disturbed (i.e. trauma, surgery) [12, 14]. In our study, we found that the majority of cases of infection arose in patients who had an intact epithelial surface and no previous ocular history, with 76 and 71.43 %, respectively. This is markedly different from one of the largest case series currently within the literature, which showed that only 5 of 17 individuals (29.4 %) had no apparent precipitating factor [12], but in line with a recent published case series from Queensland, Australia which also showed the majority of patients also had no specific inciting cause [16, 22].

Previous case reports do exist in the literature highlighting the absence of epithelial breakdown and

Table 2 Antifungal agent use

Anti-fungal	No. of cases
Amphotericin	
Intravenous	7
Topical	2
Intracameral	2
Intravitreal	7
Voriconazole	
Oral	17
Topical	11
Intracameral	6
Intravitreal	3
Natamycin topical	8
Itraconazole oral	3
Terbinafine oral	2
Posaconazole oral	1
Fluconazole oral	1

subsequent Paecilomyces lilacinus infection [17-23, 25], including a case of a suspected immune-mediated scleritis and another of acute anterior uveitis [19, 21]. Other cases have also been reported, with a number included in this current review [18, 22]. The authors do not postulate as to the apparent mechanism of the infection, other than to state that it is most likely associated with systemic immunosuppression, previous history of scleritis, diabetes or a previous biopsy that may have contributed to the evolution of the disease [21]. It has been suggested that Paecilomyces may be able to penetrate through an undisturbed epithelial surface or through micro-defects not visible to the naked eye [25]. Some researchers have also suggested that it may spread endogenously, even though few blood culture positive cases have been reported previously [17, 23]. Blood culture positive Paecilomyces typically occurs in association with intravascular prostheses [8, 24]. Furthermore, studies demonstrating the ability of Paecilomyces to actively infect animal and human corneas have either involved inoculation directly into the stroma [26] or via scarification of the cornea [12]. We have been unable to identify a study that has attempted to demonstrate whether Paecilomyces can actively penetrate an intact epithelium.

Immunosuppression is a significant determinant in the pathogenesis of paecilomyces infections. Previous reports highlighted the presence of immunosuppression in 76 % of cases of paecilomyces keratitis prior to diagnosis [12]. Murine models, with immunosuppressed mice (i.e. where their drinking water contained dexamethasone [10] or intraperitoneal cyclophosamide [27]), versus immuno-competent mice, show an inability of paecilomyces to cause disease in the absence of immunosuppression.

Table 3 Surgical intervention

Surgical intervention	No. of cases (%)
Penetrating keratoplasty	8 (38)
Corneoscleral graft	4 (19)
Iridectomy	5 (24)
Lensectomy	5 (24)
Pars plan vitrectomy (PPV)	9 (43)
Enucleation	4 (19)

Table 4 Visual outcomes

Case	Visual acuity at presentation	Final visual acuity
1	6/36 PH 6/12	Enucleation
2	HM	Enucleation
3	HM	NPL
4	HM	NPL
5	HM	6/60
6	6/28 PH 6/12	NPL
7	6/60 PH 6/36	HM
8	HM	6/24 PH 6/9
9	6/9	6/6
10	6/120	6/60
11	Not recorded	CF PH 6/12
12	HM	Enucleation
13	6/24	6/24 PH 6/19
14	HM	6/24 PH 6/15
15	6/24 PH 6/20	PL
16	6/24 PH 6/10	6/7.5
17	HM	6/120 PH 6/48
18	6/18 PH 6/9	6/6
19	6/36 PH 6/9	6/24 PH 6/18
20	6/20 PH 6/10	6/10 PH 6/7.5
21	PL	Enucleation

The mortality in immunosuppressed murine models is incredibly high with one hundred percent of mice succumbing to fungaemia 35–45 days post inoculation [10]. These laboratory models demonstrate the importance of immunosuppression as an important factor in the causal pathway of disease. In our study we found that 81.25 % of individuals were on some form of immunosuppression prior to diagnosis, either in the form of systemic or topical immunosuppression, further providing weight to the importance of immunosuppression as a risk factor.

Infection with *Paecilomyces lilacinus*, is notoriously resistant to available antifungal preparations. Clinical efficacy has been demonstrated for the use of voriconazole monotherapy [18, 26] and in combination with terbinafine [1, 5, 7, 28, 29]. In vitro evidence also exists for the efficacy for posaconazole and ravuconazole [7], and one of the patients in the series did receive oral posaconazole, due to deranged liver functions as a result of oral voriconazole therapy. A recent case report also highlights the clinical efficacy of posaconazole in paecilomyces infection [30]. Voriconazole, a triazole antifungal, which inhibits fungal cytochrome P-450 mediated 14 α-lanosterol demethylation, a necessary step in ergosterol synthesis. This leads to a loss of ergosterol, which is an essential component of the fungal cell wall. In-vitro minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for voriconazole range from 0.12 to 4.0 mg/L [7]. Numerous cases, within the literature have demonstrated the effective use of oral, topical, intravitreal and intracameral use of voriconazole for ocular Paecilomyces infection [5, 7, 12, 18, 29, 31-35]. Eighty percent of cases within our study were treated with voriconazole, at least with an oral preparation. Despite, the higher rate of voriconazole use within the study, 19 % of patients still went onto have an enucleation, which was higher than that previously reported, predominantly in the absence of voriconazole use (5 %) [12]. Furthermore, a significant number of patients in our series required combined surgical intervention in order to assist in resolution of the infection, with 85 % requiring either a penetrating keratoplasty, pars plana vitrectomy or enucleation. This is also greater than that previously demonstrated by Yuan and colleagues, but equivalent to their literature review of current cases in their article [12]. It is therefore suspected that even with the increased susceptibility of Paecilomyces lilacinus to voriconazole treatment that combined surgical and medical management will remain the norm [22]. In addition, of the patient's undergoing surgical intervention, 66 % required repeated surgical intervention, in combination with protracted medical management with voriconazole. Individuals received a minimum of 3 months oral voriconazole, with topical, intracameral or intravitreal voriconazole use dictated on a case-bycase basis.

Conclusion

We believe that *Paecilomyces lilacinus* ocular infections require persistent and aggressive treatment, with combined surgical and medical management, which patients may not be willing to undertake. Outcomes of Paecilomyces ocular infection should be clearly discussed with patients inflicted with this devastating organism.

Authors' contributions

LT and DC formulated the study design and ethics application. LT performed the literature review, data collection and write-up of the manuscript. DC performed corrections of the manuscript, along with LT. Both authors had equal

contribution in the design, acquisition of data, analysis, drafting and revising of the document. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge all those participants who participated in this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Received: 18 December 2014 Accepted: 14 October 2015 Published online: 31 October 2015

References

- Chang B, Sun PL, Huang FY, Tsai TC, Lin CC, Lee MD, Chen YC, Sheu JC, Tsai JD. *Paecilomyces lilacinus* perotinitis complicating peritoneal dialysis cured by oral voriconazole and terbinafine combination therapy. J Med Microbiol. 2008;57:1581–4.
- Ezzedine K, Belin E, Guillet S, Almeida MD, Droitcourt C, Accocebery I, Milpied B, Jouary T, Malvy D, Taieb A. Cutaneous hyphomycosis due to *Paecilomyces lilacinus*. Acta Dermato-Venereol. 2012;92:156–92.
- Jacobs H, Gray SN, Crump DH. Interactions between nematogenous fungi and consequences for their potential as biological agents for the control of potato cyst nematodes. Mycol Res. 2003;107:47–56.
- Keshtkar-Jahromi M, McTighe AH, Segalman KA, Fothergill AW, Campbell WN. Unusual case of cutaneous and synovial *Paecilomyces lilacinus* infection of hand successfully treated with voricobazole and review of published literature. Myopathologia. 2012;174:255–8.
- Anderson K, Mitra S, Salouti R, Pham TA, Taylor HR. Fungal keratitis caused by *Paecilomyces lilacinus* associated with a retained intracorneal hair. Cornea. 2004;23(5):516–21.
- Castelli M, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Cuesta I, Monzon A, Mellado E, Rodrigues-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Susceptibility testing and molecular classification of Paecilomyces spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008;52(8):2926–8.
- Pastor F, Guarro J. Clinical manifestations, treatment and outcome of Paecilomyces lilacinus infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2006;12:948–60.
- Chan-Tack K, Thio CL, Miller NS, Karp CL, Ho C, Merz WG. *Paecilomyces lilacinus* fungaemia in an adult bone marrow transplant recipient. Med Mycol. 1999;37:57–60.
- Ciecko SC, Scher R. Invasive fungal rhinitis caused by *Paecilomyces lilacnus* infection: report of a case and a novel treatment. ENT J. 2010;89(12):594–5.
- dos Santos Brito M, da Silva Lima M, Morgado FN, Raibolt P, Menezes R, Conceicao-Silva F, de Moraes Borba C. Characteristics of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* infection comparing immunocompetent with immunosuppressed murine model. Mycoses. 2011;54:513–21.
- 11. Schooneveld T, Freifeld A, Lesiak B, Kalil A, Sutton DA, Iwen PC. *Paecilomy-ces lilacinus* infection in a liver transplant patient: a case report and review of the literature. Transpl Infect Dis. 2008;10:117–22.
- Yuan X, Wilhelmus KR, Matoba AY, Alexandrakis G, Miller D, Huang AJW. Pathogenesis and outcome of paecilomyces keratitis. Am J Ophthalmol. 2009;147(4):691–6.

- Stephan Z, Al-Din SS. Influence of temperature and culture media on the growth of fungus *Paecilomyces lilacinus*. Revue de Nematol. 1987;10:494.
- Ali T, Amescua G, Miller D, Suh LH, Delmonte DW, Gibbons A, Alfonso EC, Forster RK. Contact-lens-associated *Purpureocillium keratitis*: risk factors, microbiologic characteristics, clinical course, and outcomes. Semin Ophthalmol. 2015:1–6.
- Pettit T, Olson RJ, Foos RY, Martin WJ. Fungal endophthalmitis following intraocular lens implantation: a surgical epidemic. Arch Ophthalmol. 1980;98:1025–39.
- O'Day D. Fungal endophthalmitis caused by *Paecilomyces lilacinus* after intraocular lens implantation. Am J Ophthalmol. 1977;83:130–1.
- 17. Hirst L, Sebban A, Whitby RM, Nimmo GR, Stallard K. Non-traumatic mycotic keratitis. Eye. 1992;6:391–5.
- McLintock C, Lee GA, Atkinson G. Management of recurrent *Paecilomyces lilacinus* keratitis. Clin Exp Optom. 2012;96:343–5.
- Mizunoya S, Watanabe Y. Paecilomyes keratitis with corneal perforation salvaged by a conjunctival flap and delayed keratoplasty. Br J Ophthalmol. 1994;78:157–8.
- Okhravi N, Dart JK, Towler HM, Lightman S. Paecilomyces lilacinus endophthalmitis with secondary keratitis. Arch Ophthalmol. 1997;115:1320–4.
- Chung P, Lin HC, Hwang YS, Tsai YJ, Ngan KW, Huang SCM, Hsiao CH. *Paecilomyces lilacinus* scleritis with secondary keratitis. Cornea. 2007;26:232–4.
- Hirst L, Choong K, Playford EG. Nontraumatic paecilomyces anterior segment infection: a pathognomonic clinical appearance. Cornea. 2014;33(10):1031–7.
- Okhravi N, Lightman S. Clinicial manifestations, treatment and outcome of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2007;13(5):554.
- Shing M, Ip M, Li CK, Chik KW, Yuen PM. *Paecilomyces variotii* fungemia in an adult bone marrow transplant recipient. Bone Marrow Transpl. 1996;17:281–3.
- 25. Lee G, Whitehead K, McDougall R. Management of *Paecilomyces keratitis*. Eye. 2007;21:262–4.
- Sponsel W, Chen N, Dang D, Paris G, Graybill J, Najvar LK, Zhou L, Lam KW, Glickman R, Scribbick F. Topical voriconazole as a novel treatment for fungal keratitis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50:262–8.
- Pujol I, Aguilar C, Ortoneda M, et al. Experimental pathogenesis of three opportunistic Paecilomyces species in a murine model. J Med Mycol. 2002;12:86–9.
- Ortoneda M, Capilla J, Pastor FJ, Pujol I, Yustes C, Serena C, Guarro J. In vitro interactions of approved and novel drugs against Paecilomyces spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48:2727–9.
- Ford J, Agee S, Greenshaw ST. Successful medical treatment of a case of Paecilomyces lilacinus keratitis. Cornea. 2008;27:1077–9.
- Arnoldner M, Kheirkhan A, Jakobiec FA, Durand ML, Hamrah P. Successful treatment of *Paecilomyes lilacinus* keratitis with oral posaconazole. Cornea. 2014;33(7):747–9.
- Monden Y, Sugita M, Yamakawa R, Nishimura K. Clinical experience treating *Paecilomyces lilacinus* keratitis in four patients. Clin Ophthalmol. 2012;6:949–53.
- Deng S, Kamal KM, Hollander DA. The use of voriconazole in the management of post-penetrating keratoplasty Paecilomyces keratitis. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther. 2009;25(2):175–7.
- Garbino J, Ondrusova A, Baligvo E, Lew D, Bouchuiguir-Wafa K, Rohner P. Successful treatment of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* endophthalmitis with voriconazole. Scand J Infect Dis. 2002;34:701–3.
- Yildiz E, Ailani H, Hammersmith KM, Eagle RC, Rapuano CJ, Cohen EJ. Alternaria and paecilomyces keratitis associated with soft contact lens wear. Cornea. 2010;29:564–8.
- Wu P, Lai CH, Tan HY, Ma DHK, Hsiao CH. The successful medical treatment of a case of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* keratitis. Cornea. 2010;29:357–8.