

ERRATUM

Open Access



# Erratum to: Web searching for systematic reviews: a case study of reporting standards in the UK Health Technology Assessment programme

Simon Briscoe\*

## Erratum to: *BMC Research Notes* (2015) 8:153 DOI 10.1186/s13104-015-1079-y

Unfortunately, the original version of this article [1] contained an error. In both the Abstract and the Results section the number of HTA reports containing the name of the website, ( $n = 54$ ) should have read ( $n = 83$ ).


This also affects the data in Figure 3 (Fig. 1 here), where “Website name” should also have read 83 on the chart rather than 54. A correct version of Figure 3 can be seen below.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1186/s13104-015-1079-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1079-y).

Received: 2 March 2016 Accepted: 2 March 2016  
Published online: 15 March 2016

### Reference

1. Briscoe S. Web searching for systematic reviews: a case study of reporting standards in the UK Health Technology Assessment programme. *BMC Res Notes*. 2015;8:153.



\*Correspondence: [s.briscoe@exeter.ac.uk](mailto:s.briscoe@exeter.ac.uk)  
Evidence Synthesis & Modelling for Health Improvement (ESMI),  
University of Exeter Medical School, South Cloisters, St Luke's Campus,  
Exeter EX1 2LU, UK