RESEARCH NOTE Open Access



Predictors of a follicular nodule (Thy3) outcome of thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology among Saudi patients

Imad R. Musa¹, Mukhtar El khatim Ahmad¹, Fayez Salim Al Raddady¹, Wesal Rabih Al Rabih¹, Elsayed M. Elsayed¹, Gussay Badawi Mohamed¹ and Gasim I. Gasim^{2*}

Abstract

Objective: A retrospective study was performed to evaluate predictors of thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) outcomes among Saudis with a thyroid nodule. Socio-demographic data, thyroid function status, thyroid parameters, ultrasound and cytology results were collected from 269 files of patients with thyroid nodules.

Result: The patients' age was 40 ± 1.4 years (mean \pm SD), and the mean body mass index (BMI) was 30.3 ± 1.2 kg/m². The thyroid statuses were euthyroid (85.5%), hypothyroidism (7.4%) and hyperthyroidism (7.1%). Young age, an absence of irradiation history, and multinodular goitre were protective against Thy3 [(OR = 0.05, CI = 003–0.6, P = 0.024), (OR = 0.4, CI = 0.2–0.8, P = 0.012) and (OR = 2.5, CI = 1.2–5.3, P = 0.016), respectively]; a lower FT3 was protective against Thy4 (OR = 0.4, CI = 0.2–0.99, P = 0.046), the absence of cervical lymphadenopathy was associated with Thy2 (OR = 2.7, CI = 1.4–5, P = 0.001), and a solid nodule was associated with Thy2 and Thy3 [(OR = 1.2, CI = 0.3–0.97, P = 0.040) and (OR = 2.2, CI = 1–4.8, P = 0.039), respectively]. In a multivariate analysis, younger age, multinodular goitre, an absence of irradiation history and cervical lymphadenopathy were protective against Thy3 [(OR = 0.04, 95% CI = 0.002–0.96, P = 0.047), (OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.0–5.60, P = 0.039), (OR = 0.4, 95% CI = 0.16–0.94, P = 0.036) and (O R = 0.39, 95% CI = 1–5.6, P = 0.039), respectively]. In summary, younger age, multinodular goitre, the absence of an irradiation history and cervical lymphadenopathy were protective against Thy3 in a thyroid nodule.

Keywords: Thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology, Saudi Arabia, Solitary nodule, Multinodular goitre

Introduction

A thyroid nodule is an abnormal lesion within the thyroid tissue, according to The American thyroid association (ATA) [1]. The incidence of thyroid nodules has been reported to be approximately 0.1% per year [2, 3]. The prevalence of palpable thyroid nodules ranges from 1 to 7% in different clinical data [1]. The frequency of detecting thyroid nodules increases with age and in areas where low iodine intake is observed. Thyroid nodules harbour a risk of cancer of approximately 5–10%, and therefore it's important to exclude malignancy on detecting them [4]. Thyroid cancer has been reported to be on the rise worldwide, and Saudi Arabia is not an exception [1, 5, 6].

Females are known to have a higher risk of thyroid cancer than males, particularly in the age group 30–49 [7]. It has been described that the extremes of age are associated with an increase in thyroid cancer [4]. Furthermore, exposure to radiation is regarded as a risk factor for thyroid malignancy, particularly if it occurred during childhood; therefore, proper history and evaluation is of paramount importance for a child with a thyroid nodule [1].

Assessment of serum thyroid function tests should be part of the routine package of tests performed for all patients exhibiting thyroid nodules. Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) is an independent risk factor that predicts malignancy in a thyroid nodule. Boelaert et al. [8] described a prevalence of malignancy of 29% among those who had a serum TSH level higher than 5.5 mU/L,

² Faculty of Medicine, Al-Neelain University, Khartoum, Sudan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: gasimgsm@yahoo.com

while it was only 2.8% among those with a serum TSH of < 0.4 mU/L. The American thyroid association has made a recommendation to use some risk factors in the evaluation of the thyroid nodule, such as a first-degree relative, rapid nodule growth, vocal cord paralysis, cervical lymphadenopathy, and whether the nodule is attached to surrounding tissues [1]. Ultrasound is a robust tool in the detection of a thyroid nodule, with the ability to determine the character of the nodule (solid or cystic, solitary or dominant nodule in a multinodular goitre), as well as the size and cervical lymph node features.

Characteristic sonographic features on a nodule have been used as predictors of malignancy. Some features of thyroid nodules, such as being taller than wide on a transverse view, the presence of infiltrative margins, an irregular outline, increased vascularity, hypo-echogenicity and micro-calcification, are presumed to predict cancer, despite the fact that none of these features is pathognomonic, either alone or in combination, to diagnose a nodule as malignant. Nevertheless, papillary and follicular thyroid cancers may adopt different features on an ultrasound scan [9]. Being a solid mass without cystic changes has been demonstrated in papillary carcinomas and follicular carcinomas [9]. On the other hand, a thyroid uptake scan is not routinely recommended unless a toxic nodule is a likely possibility in the case of a very low TSH, a value which deems fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) unnecessary since the nodule is always benign [1].

Historically, fine-needle aspiration (FNA) for cytological evaluation of thyroid cancer was originally performed at the New York Memorial Hospital for Cancer and Allied Diseases in 1930 by Martin and Ellis [10]. Since the 1950s, it has been adopted as a safe and costeffective method of diagnosis [11]. Recently, some studies regarded fine-needle aspiration biopsy as the most reliable diagnostic tool for detecting malignancy in thyroid nodules, with a sensitivity and specificity that exceeds 90% [1, 12]. Studies have shown that the size of the thyroid nodule may not influence the outcome of the thyroid FNAC [13, 14]. This method of clinical investigation is now practised globally and is considered to be the cornerstone for a thyroid nodule evaluation [15, 16]. Despite the wide use and importance of such a robust diagnostic tool worldwide, there are few published data from the whole region. Taking into consideration the impact of genetics and their interaction with environmental factors, the current study aims to determine predictors that may influence the outcomes of thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology among Saudi subjects in the Armed Forces Hospital of King Abdu Aziz.

Main text

Methods

A retrospective study was conducted at the Armed Forces Hospital of King Abdul Aziz Airbase in Dhahran during the period of 1st January 2010 through 31st December 2016. The sample size was based on the size of similar studies elsewhere [17, 18]. The records of two hundred and sixty-nine adult Saudi patients with documented thyroid nodules who underwent fine needle aspiration cytology were retrieved. Records of non-Saudis, those with incomplete data, patients who underwent partial thyroid surgery without prior thyroid FNAC, patients diagnosed with thyroid cancer from lymph node biopsy and patients with known thyroid malignancy were excluded. The socio-demographic data was gathered using a data collection sheet. Ultrasound reports were used to determine the thyroid gland size, the nodule size (≤ 1 cm), the number of nodules (solitary or multinodular), the character of the nodule (solid, cystic or mixed) and the presence of enlarged cervical lymph nodes. After the application of a local anaesthetic under aseptic conditions, a 22-gauge needle with a 10-mL syringe was used. The tip of the needle was targeted to areas presumed to contain the most cellular material of the thyroid nodule while continuous low pressure suction was applied simultaneously with a to-and-fro movement of the needle within the lesion to get the material in the needle hub. The histology slides were prepared as soon as the specimens were drawn and labelled. A final cytopathology report was obtained after studying each cell block. The thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology outcome was reported using the modified Thy classification as shown in Table 1. Exposure to any sort of radiation was documented. The thyroid status (euthyroid, hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism), thyroid parameters [TSH, Free T4(FT4), and Free T3(FT3)] were recorded just before the procedure of thyroid FNAC.

Statistics

Data were entered into SPSS for Windows V.24.0, (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) which was used for analysis. After checking data for normality of distribution, an ANOVA was used for normally and non-normally distributed data after log transforming the non-normally distributed variables. Chi square was used to analyse the categorical data. Continuous data were presented in the form of the mean \pm SD for normally distributed data or the median in the case of non-normally distributed data. The geometric mean was calculated in the case of non-normally distributed data that had undergone log transformation, while the categorical data was presented in the form of proportions. Factors predicting the outcome of the FNAC were entered into a multinomial logistic regression model

Table 1 Shows the modified Thy Classification

Thy1: non-diagnostic or inadequate sample

Thy2: non-neoplastic or benign (features consistent with a nodular goiter or thyroiditis)

Thy3: all follicular lesions. (classified as a follicular lesion/suspected follicular neoplasm)

Thy4: abnormal, suspicious of malignancy (suspicious, but not diagnostic, of papillary, medullary or anaplastic carcinoma or of lymphoma)

Thy5: diagnostic of malignancy (unequivocal features of papillary, medullary or anaplastic carcinoma, or of lymphoma or of metastatic tumor)

if their p value was 0.05 or less. This multivariate logistic model included the following variables: age, BMI, the number of thyroid nodules, the thyroid nodule character, the thyroid hormonal profile apart from FT3, exposure to radiation, and cervical lymph nodes. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Two hundred and sixty-nine subjects were enrolled in the study, out of which 34 (12.6%) were males and 235 (87.4%) were females. Their mean \pm SD age was 40 \pm 1.4 years, while their mean \pm SD body mass index (BMI) was 30.3 \pm 1.2 kg/m². The distribution of cases according to the Thy classification as shown by the fine needle aspiration cytology was Thy-1 111 (41.3%), Thy-2 107 (39.8%), Thy-3 38 (14.1%), and Thy-4 13 (4.8%), and for Thy-5, no case was reported (0.0%).

Euthyroid cases formed the majority (85.5%), with hypothyroidism forming 7.4% and hyperthyroidism 7.1%.

A significant association was found between thyroid FNAC and age (P=0.017), BMI (P=0.002), thyroid function parameters (TSH and FT4) with a P value = 0.002 for each, FT3 (P=0.005), radiation exposure (P=0.025), number of nodules (P=0.006), character of the nodule (P=0.001) and absence of associated enlarged cervical lymph nodes (P=0.010).In contrast, gender (P=0.090), the size of the thyroid gland (P=0.912), thyroid status (P=0.584) and the thyroid nodule size (P=0.318) had no association with FNAC (Table 2).

Univariate analysis showed young age, an absence of a history of exposure to radiation and multinodular goitre to be protective against Thy3 [(OR = 0.05, CI = 003–0.6, P = 0.024), (OR = 0.4, CI = 0.2–0.8, P = 0.012) and (OR = 2.5, CI = 1.2–5.3, P = 0.016), respectively], a lower FT3 was protective against Thy4 (OR = 0.4, CI = 0.2–0.99, P = 0.046), the absence of cervical lymphadenopathy is associated with Thy2 (OR = 2.7, CI = 1.4–5, P = 0.001) and a solid nodule was found to be a risk factor for the development of Thy2 and 3 [(OR = 1.2, CI = 0.3–0.97, P = 0.040) and (OR = 2.2, CI = 1–4.8, P = 0.039), respectively] (Table 3).

A multivariate analysis showed that younger age, multinodular goitre, absence of a history of radiation exposure and an absence of lymphadenopathy provide a favourable prognostic effect against acquiring Thy3 in thyroid nodules [(OR = 0.04, 95% CI = 0.002–0.96, P = 0.047), (OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.0–5.60, P = 0.039), (OR = 0.4, 95% CI = 0.16–0.94, P = 0.036) and (OR = 0.39, 95% CI = 1–5.6, P = 0.039), respectively] (Table 3).

Discussion

Thy3 cases formed 14.1% of the cases in the current study; a similar finding has been described in the United Kingdom [19]. Al-Shraim et al. [20] described a greater Thy3 percentage (29.7%) of their cases in the study conducted in the Southwestern region of Saudi Arabia; however, the area is mountainous and known to be endemic for iodine deficiency [21]. It is worth mentioning that most of the thyroid cancer studies focused on the incidence of the disease, and none of these studies addressed the predictors of the outcome. One of the main findings in this study is the significant association between fine needle aspiration cytology and age, where the association showed that younger age has a protective effect against the development of Thy3, although this association is weak. Similar studies have shown wide variations and conflicting results. While some of these studies showed an association with the extremes of age (less than 20 years or more than 70 years) [4], others considered age as a low malignancy-risk factor [22, 23]; however, no association was found [24]. Such variation can be explained by the differences in the genetic backgrounds of the study groups as well as differences in the influence of environmental factors. In this regard, our patients are living in an oil industry area where radiation hazards cannot be totally excluded. Furthermore, the gulf area is still a hot battlefield where depleted uranium is frequently used. Such observations might be taken into account as explanations for the recently reported increase in thyroid cancer, where it formed 8.1% of new cancer cases in 2012, according to The Saudi Cancer Registry [25].

Moreover, a solitary nodule was found to be more likely associated with Thy3 than a multinodular goitre. Such a result is in accordance with published data where a higher prevalence of thyroid malignancy has been linked to a solitary nodule rather than to a multinodular goitre [26–28]. In contrast to this finding, other authors showed that the number of thyroid nodules cannot predict the

Musa et al. BMC Res Notes (2017) 10:612

Table 2 Main patient's characteristics

| Variables | Thy1 N (111) | Thy2 N (107) | Thy3 N (38) | Thy4 N (13) | P value | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--|
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male no. (%) | 17 (15.3) | 15 (14) | 0 (0) | 2 (15.4) | 0.090 | |
| Female no. (%) | 94 (84.7) | 92 (86) | 38 (100) | 11 (84.6) | | |
| Age in years mean (sd) | 39.8 (1.4) | 39.8 (1.4) | 34.7 (1.3) | 41.7 (1.4) | 0.017 | |
| BMI kg/m ² mean (sd) | 31.6 (1.2) | 31.6 (1.2) | 31.6 (1.2) | 31.6 (1.2) | 0.002 | |
| Thyroid status | | | | | | |
| Euthyroid N (%) | 94 (84.7) | 89 (83.2) | 36 (94.7) | 11 (84.6) | 0.584 | |
| Hypothyroidism N (%) | 7 (6.3) | 11 (10.3) | 1 (2.6) | 1 (7.7) | | |
| Hyperthyroidism N (%) | 10 (9) | 7 (6.5) | 1 (2.6) | 1 (7.7) | | |
| Thyroid gland size | | | | | | |
| Normal N (%) | 12 (10.8) | 9 (8.4) | 3 (7.9) | 1 (7.7) | 0.912 | |
| Enlarged N (%) | 99 (89.2) | 98 (91.6) | 35 (92.1) | 12 (92.3) | | |
| The number of thyroid nodule | S | | | | | |
| Solitary N (%) | 34 (30.6) | 27 (25.2) | 20 (52.6) | 7 (53.8) | 0.006 | |
| Multi-nodular N (%) | 77 (69.4) | 80 (74.8) | 18 (47.4) | 6 (46.2) | | |
| The thyroid nodule character | | | | | | |
| Solid N (%) | 34 (30.6) | 19 (17.8) | 20 (52.6) | 7 (53) | 0.001 | |
| Cystic N (%) | 12 (10.8) | 16 (15) | 1 (26.3) | 1 (7.7) | | |
| Mixed | 65 (58.6) | 72 (67.3) | 17 (44.7) | 5 (38.5) | | |
| The thyroid nodule size | | | | | | |
| Less than 1 cm N (%) | 5 (4.5) | 2 (1.9) | 0 (0) | 1 (7.7) | 0.318 | |
| More than 1 cm N (%) | 106 (95.5) | 105 (98.1) | 38 (100) | 12 (92.3) | | |
| The thyroid hormonal profile | | | | | | |
| TSH mean (sd) | 1.2 (4.2) | 1.2 (3.3) | 7.9 (2.9) | 1.5 (2.9) | 0.002 | |
| T3 mean (sd) | 4.3 (1.2) | 4.6 (1.3) | 4.5 (1.2) | 3.9 (1.4) | 0.005 | |
| T4 mean (sd) | 12.6 (1.2) | 13.2 (1.2) | 12.9 (1.2) | 12.6 (1.1) | 0.002 | |
| Exposure to radiation | | | | | | |
| Yes N (%) | 90 (81.1) | 86 (80.4) | 23 (60.5%) | 12 (78.4) | 0.025 | |
| No N (%) | 21 (18.9) | 21 (19.6) | 15 (39.5) | 1 (21.6) | | |
| Cervical lymph nodes | | | | | | |
| Normal N (%) | 65 (58.6) | 85 (79.4) | 24 (63.2) | 9 (69.2) | 0.010 | |
| Enlarged N (%) | 46 (41.4) | 22 (20.6) | 14 (36.8) | 4 (30.8) | | |

histological outcome of a thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology [29, 30]. Others claim that the overall risk of cancer within a gland with a solitary nodule is similar to that of a multinodular gland [31].

Accordingly, dealing with each thyroid nodule separately and irrespectively of its number is a wise attitude for proper evaluation. The current study showed that the absence of a radiation history has a protective effect against the development of Thy3. Exposure to radiation during childhood is considered to be a predictor for thyroid malignancy, including follicular neoplasms, because the sensitivity of the thyroid gland to radiation is significantly greater [32]. A recent study from Belarus described such an association [33]. However, the risk of getting thyroid cancer may persist for many decades after radiation exposure, and an annual physical examination

should be thus encouraged as a thyroid cancer screening strategy [34]. Radiation exposure from CT scans is estimated to be small but can lead to a significant increase to the overall risk of thyroid nodule related cancer [35, 36]. However, others reported different findings [37]. Such conflicting results might be explained by the variation in the genetic background between the different groups or the difference in radiation dose and may be due to the nutritional background, as deficiency in iodine can play such a perpetuating role. Enlarged cervical lymph nodes were found to predict the presence of follicular neoplasm (Thy3 subgroup). A positive predictive value of 68% with an 82% sensitivity and 90% specificity for thyroid cancer has been demonstrated in cases with associated enlarged cervical lymph nodes [38]. Enlarged cervical lymph nodes can represent an indicator for a malignant aetiology if

Table 3 Showing the univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of FNAC outcome where FNAC Thy1 was the reference

| Variables | Thy2 (N = 107) | | | Thy3 (N = 38) | | | Thy4 (N = 13) | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-----|----------|---------------|------------|------|---------------|-------|------------|-----|-------------|-------|
| | | OR | CI | P | | OR | CI | P | | OR | CI | Р |
| Univariate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age in years mean (SD) | 39.8 (1.4) | 1.6 | 0.2-10.6 | 0.652 | 34.7 (1.3) | 0.05 | 0.003-0.6 | 0.021 | 41.7 (1.4) | 2.3 | 0.04-150.3 | 0.691 |
| NoTN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SN No (%) | 27 (25.2) | 0.8 | 0.4-1.4 | 0.375 | 20 (52.6) | 2.5 | 1.2-5.3 | 0.016 | 7 (53.8) | 2.6 | 0.8-8.5 | 0.101 |
| MNG N (%) | 80 (74.8) | | | | 18 (47.4) | | | | 6 (46.2) | | | |
| ER | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes N (%) | 86 (80.4) | 0.9 | 0.5-1.9 | 0.895 | 23 (60.5) | 0.4 | 0.2-0.8 | 0.012 | 12 (78.4) | 2.8 | 0.5-22.7 | 0.335 |
| NO N (%) | 21 (19.6) | | | | 15 (39.5) | | | | 1 (21.6) | | | |
| CLN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal N (%) | 85 (79.4) | 2.7 | 1.4-5 | 0.001 | 24 (63.2) | 1.2 | 0.6-2.6 | 0.618 | 9 (69.2) | 1.6 | 0.5-5.5 | 0.461 |
| Enlarged N (%) | 22 (20.6) | | | | 14 (36.8) | | | | 4 (30.8) | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male N (%) | 15 (14) | 0.9 | 0.4-1.9 | 0.787 | 0 (0) | NA | | | 2 (15.4) | 1 | 0.2-4.9 | 0.995 |
| Female N (%) | 92 (86) | | | | 38 (100) | | | | 11 (84.6) | | | |
| Thyroid gland size | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal N (%) | 9 (8.4) | 0.8 | 0.3-1.9 | 0.549 | 3 (7.9) | 0.7 | 0.2-2.7 | 0.608 | 1 (7.7) | 0.7 | 0.1-5.9 | 0.730 |
| Enlarged N (%) | 98 (91.6) | | | | 35 (92.1) | | | | 12 (92.3) | | | |
| Nodule character | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solid N (%) | 19 (17.8) | 1.2 | 0.3-0.97 | 0.040 | 20 (52.6) | 2.2 | 1-4.8 | 0.039 | 7 (53) | 2.7 | 0.8–9 | 0.114 |
| Cystic | 16 (15) | 1.2 | 0.5-2.7 | 0.658 | 1 (26.3) | U 3 | 0.04-2.6 | 0.288 | 1 (7.7) | 1.1 | 0.1–10 | 0.944 |
| Mixed | 72 (67.3) | 1.2 | 0.5 2.7 | 0.030 | 17 (44.7) | 0.5 | 0.04 2.0 | 0.200 | 5 (38.5) | 1.1 | 0.1 10 | 0.7 |
| Nodule size | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| > 1 cm | 2 (1.9) | 0.4 | 0.1-2.1 | 0.285 | 0 (0) | NA | | | 1 (7.7) | 1.8 | 0.2-16.4 | 0.617 |
| < 1 cm | 105 (98.1) | | | | 38 (100) | | | | 12 (92.3) | | | |
| Thyroid hormones | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TSH mean (sd) | 1.2 (3.3) | 1 | 0.9-1.2 | 0.414 | 7.9 (2.9) | 0.9 | 0.7-1.2 | 0.583 | 1.5 (2.9) | 1 | 0.9-1.2 | 0.688 |
| T3 mean (sd) | 4.6 (1.3) | 1.2 | 0.8-1.8 | 0.347 | 4.5 (1.2) | 1.1 | 0.7-1.7 | 0.626 | 3.9 (1.4) | 0.4 | 0.2-0.99 | 0.046 |
| T4 mean (sd) | 13.2 (1.2) | 1.1 | 0.97-1.2 | 0.159 | 12.9 (1.2) | 1 | 0.9-1.2 | 0.593 | 12.6 (1.1) | 1 | 0.8-1.3 | 0.954 |
| BMI mean (sd) | 31.6 (1.2) | 1.5 | 0.1-40.6 | 0.809 | 31.6 (1.2) | 1.7 | 0.02-163.5 | 0.826 | 31.6 (1.2) | 0.0 | 4.248E-50.1 | 0.401 |
| Multivariate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age in years mean (SD) | 39.8 (1.4) | 1.6 | 0.2-13.2 | 0.674 | 34.7 (1.3) | 0.04 | 0.002-0.96 | 0.047 | | | | |
| NoTN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SN No (%) | 27 (25.2) | 0.7 | 0.3-1.3 | 0.239 | 20 (52.6) | 2.4 | 1.0-5.6 | 0.039 | | | | |
| MNG N (%) | 80 (74.8) | | | | 18 (47.4) | | | | | | | |
| ER | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes N (%) | 86 (80.4) | 1.0 | 0.5-2.1 | 0.999 | 23 (60.5) | 0.4 | 0.16-0.94 | 0.036 | | | | |
| NO N (%) | 21 (19.6) | | | | 15 (39.5) | | | | | | | |
| CLN | (7 | | | | (- · · - / | | | | | | | |
| Normal N (%) | 85 (79.4) | 3.2 | 1.6-6.1 | < 0.001 | 24 (63.2) | 0.39 | 1-5.6 | 0.039 | | | | |
| Enlarged N (%) | 22 (20.6) | | | | 14 (36.8) | | | | | | | |

found on the same side as a thyroid nodule and in the presence of some suggestive features, such as micro calcifications, increased vascularity, cystic changes, and rounded shape [39].

Other factors included in this study either showed no association using a univariate analysis or were found to be confounders when entered into the multivariate analysis.

Conclusion

The current study showed that younger age, multinodular goitre, the absence of a history of exposure to radiation, and an absence of lymphadenopathy provide protective evidence against acquiring Thy3 in thyroid nodules, but a solitary nodule does not provide evidence of protection.

Limitations

The limitations of this study were that both thyroid ultrasound and FNAC are subject to variation, based on the experience and skills of the performing person. As a retrospective study, it suffered from insufficiency of records in the following areas: thyroid scintigraphy and detailed features of thyroid nodules, family history of thyroid cancer, and the status of autoimmune thyroid antibodies as risk factors.

Abbreviations

FNAC: fine needle aspiration cytology; BMI: body mass index; TSH: thyroid stimulating hormone; FT4: free T4; FT3: free T3; TN: thyroid nodule; SN: solitary nodule; MNG: multinodular goitre; ER: exposure to radiation; CLN: cervical lymph nodes; NA: not available.

Authors' contributions

IR, ME, FS designed the study. WR, EM, GB gathered and entered the data. GI conducted the statistical analysis. IR and GI drafted the manuscript. GI revised the manuscript and finalized it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Armed Forces Hospital, Dhahran, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ² Faculty of Medicine, Al-Neelain University, Khartoum, Sudan.

Acknowledgements

None.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study received ethical approval from the board of the ethical committee of the Armed Forces Hospital of King Abdul Aziz Airbase in Dhahran. No consent to participate is required.

Funding

None.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 12 August 2017 Accepted: 15 November 2017 Published online: 23 November 2017

References

- Cooper DS, Doherty GM, Haugen BR, Kloos RT, Lee SL, Mandel SJ, et al. Revised American Thyroid Association management guidelines for patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. Thyroid. 2009;19:1167–214.
- 2. De Silva K. Update on thyroid cancer management and the limitations faced by us. Sri Lanka J. Diabetes Endocrinol. Metab. 2012;2:21–4.
- Nguyen GK, Lee MW, Ginsberg J, Wragg T, Bilodeau D. Fine-needle aspiration of the thyroid: an overview. Cytojournal. 2005;2:12.
- Hegedüs L. The thyroid nodule. N Engl J Med. 2004;351:1764–71. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMcp031436.
- Hussain F, Iqbal S, Mehmood A, Bazarbashi S, ElHassan T, Chaudhri N. Incidence of thyroid cancer in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2000–2010. Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther. 2013;6:58–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. hemonc.2013.05.004.
- Alghamdi IG, Hussain II, Alghamdi MS, Dohal AA, Almalki SS, El-Sheemy MA. The incidence rate of thyroid cancer among women in Saudi Arabia: an observational descriptive epidemiological analysis of data from Saudi Cancer Registry 2001–2008. J Immigr Minor Health. 2015;17:638–43.
- McNally RJQ, Blakey K, James PW, Gomez Pozo B, Basta NO, Hale J. Increasing incidence of thyroid cancer in Great Britain, 1976–2005: age-period-cohort analysis. Eur J Epidemiol. 2012;27:615–22.
- Boelaert K, Horacek J, Holder RL, Watkinson JC, Sheppard MC, Franklyn JA. Serum thyrotropin concentration as a novel predictor of malignancy in thyroid nodules investigated by fine-needle aspiration. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91:4295–301.
- Jeh S, Jung SL, Kim BS, Lee YS, Fujimoto Y, Katagiri M. Evaluating the degree of conformity of papillary carcinoma and follicular carcinoma to the reported ultrasonographic findings of malignant thyroid tumor. Korean J Radiol. 2005;8:192–7.
- Martin HE, Ellis EB. Biopsy by needle puncture and aspiration. Ann Surg. 1930:92:169–81.
- 11. Soderstrom N. Puncture of goiters for aspiration biopsy: a preliminary report. Acta Med Scand. 1952;144:237–44.
- Lansford CD, Teknos TN. Evaluation of the thyroid nodule. Cancer Control. 2006;13:89–98.
- Albuja-Cruz MB, Goldfarb M, Gondek SS, Allan BJ, Lew JI. Reliability of fine-needle aspiration for thyroid nodules greater than or equal to 4 cm. J Surg Res. 2013;181:6–10.
- Shrestha M, Crothers BA, Burch HB. The impact of thyroid nodule size on the risk of malignancy and accuracy of fine-needle aspiration: a 10-year study from a single institution. Thyroid. 2012;22:1251–6.
- Egset AV, Holm C, Larsen SR, Nielsen SH, Bach J, Helweg-Larsen JP, et al. Risk of malignancy in fine-needle aspiration biopsy in patients with thyroid nodules. Dan Med J. 2017;64:1–4.
- Karthikesh S, Kannan NS, Babu PG, Palaniappan M. Ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration cytology in diagnosis of thyroid nodule. Int J Curr Res Rev. 2015;7(13):1.
- Mussa A, De Andrea M, Motta M, Mormile A, Palestini N, Corrias A. Predictors of malignancy in children with thyroid nodules. J Pediatr. 2015;167:886–92.
- Castro MR, Espiritu RP, Bahn RS, Henry MR, Gharib H, Caraballo PJ, et al. Predictors of malignancy in patients with cytologically suspicious thyroid nodules. Thyroid. 2011;21:1191–8. https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2011.0146.
- Lobo C, McQueen A, Beale T, Kocjan G. The UK Royal College of pathologists thyroid fine-needle aspiration diagnostic classification is a robust tool for the clinical management of abnormal thyroid nodules. Acta Cvtol. 2011;55:499–506.
- Al-shraim MM, Kaood OM, Hussein MR, Al-ahmary AM, Al Shehri GY, Jastania RA, et al. Assessment of malignancy rate in thyroid nodules according to the Bethesda system of fine-needle aspiration. Report from a tertiary center in the Southwestern region of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J. 2012;966:167–71.
- 21. Abbag FI, Abu-Eshy SA, Mahfouz AA, Al-Fifi SA, El-Wadie H, Abdallah SM, et al. lodine-deficiency disorders in the Aseer region, south-western Saudi Arabia: 20 years after the national survey and universal salt iodization. Public Health Nutr. 2015;18:2523–9.

- 22. Maia AL, Ward LS, Carvalho GA, Graf H, Maciel RMB, Maciel LMZ, et al. Thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: Brazilian consensus. Arg Bras Endocrinol Metabol. 2007;51:867–93.
- Mukasa K, Noh JY, Kunii Y, Matsumoto M, Sato S, Yasuda S, et al. Prevalence of malignant tumors and adenomatous lesions detected by ultrasonographic screening in patients with autoimmune thyroid diseases. Thyroid. 2011;21:37–41.
- Calò PG, Medas F, Cruz RS, Podda F, Erdas E, Pisano G, et al. Follicular nodules (Thy3) of the thyroid: is total thyroidectomy the best option? BMC Surg. 2014;14:1–7. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/1471-2482-14-12%0A, https://bmcsurg.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2482-14-12.
- Cancer Incidence Report 2012. Saudi Cancer Registry, Saudi Arabia. 2012. http://www.iacr.com.fr/index.php?option=com_comprofiler&task=user profile&user=1215&Itemid=498.
- Jena A, Patnayak R, Prakash J, Sachan A, Suresh V, Lakshmi A. Malignancy in solitary thyroid nodule: a clinicoradiopathological evaluation. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2015;19:498. http://www.ijem.in/text.asp?2015/19/4/498/159056.
- 27. Ugurlu S, Caglar E, Yesim TE, Tanrikulu E, Can G, Kadioglu P. Evaluation of thyroid nodules in Turkish population. Intern Med. 2008;47:205–9.
- Kaliszewski K, Diakowska D, Wojtczak B, Strutyńska-Karpińska M, Domosławski P, Sutkowski K, et al. Fine-needle aspiration biopsy as a preoperative procedure in patients with malignancy in solitary and multiple thyroid nodules. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:1–13.
- 29. Pinchot SN, Al-Wagih H, Schaefer S, Sippel R, Chen H. Accuracy of fineneedle aspiration biopsy for predicting neoplasm or carcinoma in thyroid nodules 4 cm or larger. Arch Surg. 2009;144:649.
- Frates MC, Benson CB, Doubilet PM, Kunreuther E, Contreras M, Cibas ES, et al. Prevalence and distribution of carcinoma in patients with solitary and multiple thyroid nodules on sonography. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006:91:3411–7.
- 31. Jaheen H, Sakr M. Predictors of malignancy in patients with solitary and multiple thyroid nodules. Jurnalul Chir. 2016;12. http://www.omicsonline.

- com/open-access/predictors-of-malignancy-in-patients-with-solitary-and-multiple-thyroidnodules-1584-9341-12-3-3.php?aid=79968.
- 32. Cahoon EK, Nadyrov EA, Polyanskaya ON, Yauseyenka VV, Veyalkin IV, Yeudachkova TI, et al. Risk of thyroid nodules in residents of belarus exposed to chernobyl fallout as children and adolescents. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102:2207–17. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2016-3842.
- Tonorezos ES, Barnea D, Moskowitz CS, Chou JF, Sklar CA, Elkin EB, et al. Screening for thyroid cancer in survivors of childhood and young adult cancer treated with neck radiation. J Cancer Surviv. 2016;. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11764-016-0588-6.
- Little MP, Kwon D, Zablotska LB, Brenner AV, Cahoon K, Rozhko AV, et al. Impact of uncertainties in exposure assessment on thyroid cancer risk among persons in belarus exposed as children or adolescents due to the chernobyl accident. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:1–16.
- 35. Hoang JK, Choudhury KR, Eastwood JD, Esclamado RM, Lyman GH, Shattuck TM, et al. An exponential growth in incidence of thyroid cancer: trends and impact of CT imaging. AJNR. Am J Neuroradiol. 2014;35:778–83. https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A3743.
- Chodick G, Kim KP, Shwarz M, Horev G, Shalev V, Ron E. Radiation risks from pediatric computed tomography scanning. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev. 2009;7:29–36.
- Bérontiené R, Jašinskiené E, Kiudeliené R, Kuprionis G, Makštiené J, Maca R, et al. Thirty-five years of thyroid cancer experience in a paediatric population: incidence trends in Lithuania between 1980 and 2014. Eur Thyroid J. 2017;6:40–6.
- Hands KE, Cervera A, Fowler LJ. Enlarged benign-appearing cervical lymph nodes by ultrasonography are associated with increased likelihood of cancer somewhere within the thyroid in patients undergoing thyroid nodule evaluation. Thyroid. 2010;20:857–62.
- Leenhardt L, Erdogan MF, Hegedus L, Mandel SJ, Paschke R, Rago T, et al. 2013 European thyroid association guidelines for cervical ultrasound scan and ultrasound-guided techniques in the postoperative management of patients with thyroid cancer. Eur Thyroid J. 2013;2:147–59. https://doi. org/10.1159/000354537.