

DATA NOTE

Open Access



Proteomic dataset for decellularization of porcine auricular cartilage

Roxanne N. Stone^{1,2,3}, Xinzhu Pu^{2,3,4,5} and Julia Thom Oxford^{1,2,3,4,5*}

Abstract

Objectives Osteoarthritis (OA) is a major concern in the United States and worldwide. Development and validation of robust decellularization techniques is critical in generating suitable bioscaffolds for future OA treatment options.

Data descriptions In the present study, proteins from porcine auricular cartilage before and after decellularization were extracted, digested, and identified using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The data represents protein profiles of both non-decellularized and decellularized porcine auricular cartilage. This data is intended to be useful to scientists who are interested in generating biomaterials for potential relevant clinical applications using decellularized cartilage tissue.

Keywords Osteoarthritis, Porcine, Cartilage, Decellularization, Proteomics, LC-MS/MS

Objective

Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the leading causes of disability worldwide [1, 2]. Tissue engineering approaches using 3-dimensional scaffolds are promising for the early treatment of cartilage degeneration in OA joints [3]. Development and validation of robust decellularization techniques is critically important in generating suitable scaffolds to provide support for tissue growth. Our dataset comprises quantitative proteomic analysis of porcine auricular cartilage before and after decellularization. We

believe that this data would be beneficial for researchers who are interested in generating biomaterials using decellularized cartilage as a future alternative treatment option for individuals suffering from OA.

Data description

This is a raw data set of our research article presenting our findings on creating and validating biological scaffold from porcine auricular cartilage using a decellularization protocol developed in our lab [4]. We performed decellularization using a combination of chemical and physical methods. Surfactants, acid and bases, and enzymes were included in the chemical and enzymatic treatment to remove cells [5–7]. Proteins from nondecellularized and decellularized scaffolds were digested with trypsin and the resulting peptide were chromatographically separated on a reverse-phase C18 column analyzed on a Linear Ion Trap mass spectrometer using a Data Dependent Acquisition workflow [4]. Peptide spectral matching was performed by a database search using Sequest HT algorithms in a Proteome Discoverer 2.2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Raw spectrum data were searched against the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot protein database for *Sus scrofa*

*Correspondence:

Julia Thom Oxford
joxford@boisestate.edu

¹Biomedical Engineering Doctoral Program, Boise State University, 83725 Boise, ID, USA

²Biomolecular Research Center, Boise State University, 83725 Boise, ID, USA

³Center of Biomedical Research Excellence in Matrix Biology, Boise State University, 83725 Boise, ID, USA

⁴Biomolecular Sciences Graduate Program, Boise State University, 83725 Boise, ID, USA

⁵Department of Biological Sciences, Boise State University, 83725 Boise, ID, USA



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 1 Overview of data files/data sets

Label	Name of data file/data set	File types (file extension)	Data repository and identifier (DOI or accession number)
Data file 1	DecellularizedRawData	Raw data (.raw)	MassIVE (https://doi.org/10.25345/C5HQ3S890) [8]
Data file 2	NondecellularizedRawData	Raw data (.raw)	MassIVE (https://doi.org/10.25345/C5HQ3S890) [8]
Data file 3	DecellularizedPeaklist	Peak list (.mzML)	MassIVE (https://doi.org/10.25345/C5HQ3S890) [8]
Data file 4	NondecellularizedPeaklist	Peak list (.mzML)	MassIVE (https://doi.org/10.25345/C5HQ3S890) [8]

(May 25, 2019). Dataset includes raw data files and peak list files (Table 1) [8].

Limitations

- Current data is of scaffolds generated from porcine auricular cartilage and may differ from biomaterials generated from decellularization of other tissues.
- The data is generated using a linear ion trap mass spectrometer and thus the mass resolution is slightly less compared to other high-resolution platforms like Orbitrap data.

Abbreviations

OA Osteoarthritis
LC-MS/MS Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge support from the Biomolecular Research Center RRID:SCR_019174, at Boise State University with funding from the National Science Foundation, Grants #0619793, #0923535, and #2320410; the M. J. Murdock Charitable Trust; Duane and Lori Stueckle, and the Idaho State Board of Education.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, R.N.S. and J.T.O.; methodology, R.N.S., X.P.; writing—original draft preparation, R.N.S. and X.P.; writing—review and editing, R.N.S., X.P., and J.T.O.

Funding

This research was supported by Institutional Development Awards (IDeA) from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Grants #P20GM103408 and #P20GM109095.

Data availability

Proteomic dataset has been deposited in MassIVE repository and is available at: <https://doi.org/10.25345/C5HQ3S890>.

Declarations

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships which have, or could be perceived to have, influenced the work reported in this article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 4 January 2024 / Accepted: 12 February 2024

Published online: 27 February 2024

References

1. Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Nolte S, Ackerman I, Fransen M, et al. The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of Disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2014;73:1323–30.
2. Escobar Ivirico JL, Bhattacharjee M, Kuyinu E, Nair LS, Laurencin CT. Regenerative Engineering for knee osteoarthritis treatment: Biomaterials and Cell-Based technologies. *Engineering*. 2017;3:16–27.
3. Tamaddon M, Gilja H, Wang L, Oliveira JM, Sun X, Tan R, et al. Osteochondral Scaffold Early OA Treat. 2020;1:3–17.
4. Stone RN, Frahs SM, Hardy MJ, Fujimoto A, Pu X, Keller-Peck C, et al. Decellularized Porcine Cartilage Scaffold; validation of decellularization and evaluation of biomarkers of Chondrogenesis. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021;22:6241.
5. Kim YS, Majid M, Melchiorri AJ, Mikos AG. Applications of decellularized extracellular matrix in bone and cartilage tissue engineering. *Bioeng Transl Med*. 2019;4:83–95.
6. Heath DE. A review of Decellularized Extracellular Matrix Biomaterials for Regenerative Engineering Applications. *Regen Eng Transl Med*. 2019;5:155–66.
7. Gilpin A, Yang Y. Decellularization strategies for Regenerative Medicine: from Processing techniques to applications. *Biomed Res Int*. 2017;2017:1–13.
8. Oxford JT. Proteomic evaluation of decellularization of porcine auricular cartilage. *MassIVE*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.25345/C5HQ3S890>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.