RESEARCH NOTE Open Access



In vitro screening of known drugs identified by scaffold hopping techniques shows promising leishmanicidal activity for suramin and netilmicin

Supriya Khanra¹, Y. Pavan Kumar³, Jyotirmayee Dash³ and Rahul Banerjee^{1,2*}

Abstract

Objective: The rapid emergence of drug resistant *Leishmanial* strains makes it imperative to continue the development of cheap and effective drugs against the parasite. Due to the absence of effective vaccines against leishmaniasis, current therapeutic measures exclusively rely on chemotherapy. Here we attempt, to identify novel antileishmanial from a list of known drugs determined from a previous bioinformatics study. Synergism between various drug combinations (involving netilmicin, suramin, paromomycin and curcumin) have been estimated to identify potent multidrug therapies to combat the disease.

Results: The drugs were screened against *Leishmania* promastigotes by utilizing the MTT assay and against intracellular amastigotes using murine Macrophage like tumor cell, RAW 264.7 as a host. In vitro drug interactions were tested for several drug combinations with a modified fixed ratio isobologram method against both *Leishmania major* and *Leishmania donovani*. This work reports the in vitro antileishmanial activity for the aminoglycoside netilmicin (for some *Leishmania* parasites) and the anti-trypanosomatid suramin. Synergism was also observed between paromomycin–suramin and netilmicin–curcumin.

Keywords: Netilmicin, Curcumin, Suramin, Leishmaniasis, Synergism

Introduction

Leishmaniasis, a broad spectrum of neglected tropical diseases caused by the protozoan parasites *Leishmania* spp., exhibits a wide variety of clinical symptoms, epidemiology and pathogenesis [1]. Traditionally, leishmaniasis is classified into three different clinical manifestations: cutaneous (CL), mucocutaneous (MCL) and visceral (VL) or kala-azar (KA). Approximately 20 million people are infected with leishmaniasis worldwide [2]. VL is endemic in the Indian subcontinent and expanding its base on the Gangetic plains of Bangladesh, India and Nepal [3]. East Africa is second only to India in the incidence of VL and highest in HIV–VL co-infection rate [4]. Over a span of

30 years, VL has escalated from rural areas to urban centers in Brazil, spreading across the whole country [5]. The highest incidence of CL is in Afghanistan with an estimated 200,000 reported cases per year from Kabul alone [6].

Several *Leishmanial* strains responsible for VL in the Indian subcontinent have been reported to be resistant to antimonial drugs, the traditional first line of defense against the parasite [7, 8]. Other drugs used as a replacement for antimonials include amphotericin B, pentamidine, miltefosine and paromomycin some of which are expensive, difficult to administer and exhibit severe side effects [9, 10]. Of these, resistant strains have already been reported for amphotericin B and miltefosine [11, 12]. The above scenario indicates that the search for economically viable antileishmanial agents of reduced toxicity must remain unabated. In keeping with this challenge

¹ Crystallography and Molecular Biology Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Sector 1, Block AF, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064, India Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: rahul.banerjee@saha.ac.in

several prospective novel antiparasitic compounds have also been reported [13–16].

Previous work in the laboratory reported a bioinformatics study identifying drugs by scaffold hopping techniques, which could be prospective antileishmanials [17]. The two objectives in this work, are firstly to experimentally screen a subset of these compounds, selected from the final list of 32 approved drugs (identified by bioinformatics) for antileishmanial activity (Additional file 1). The compounds curcumin and suramin have been included in the screening as both have been reported for antileishmanial [18] and antitrypanosomal [19] activity respectively. Secondly, the synergism between several drugs has been tested with a view to formulate potent and effective therapeutic remedies for possible use in combination therapy.

Main text

Methods

Leishmania parasites culture

Leishmania major (5ASKH), L. donovani (MHOM/IN/83/AG83) and L. donovani (BS13) promastigotes were routinely cultured at 22 °C in M199 medium (St. Louis, MO, USA) with 10% heat-inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (Gibco, USA). Drug sensitivity was assayed using MTT and Giemsa stain in the amastigote—macrophage model, with each experiment being performed in triplicate. The final list of drugs reported in this study are paromomycin, suramin, primaquine, curcumin (all from Sigma-Aldrich Ltd.) and netilmicin (Zuventus Healthcare Ltd).

Cell line used for in vitro study

Murine Macrophage (MØs) like tumor cell, RAW 264.7 was obtained from American Type Culture Collection and were maintained in complete RPMI 1640 medium (HiMedia) with 10% FBS at 37 $^{\circ}$ C with 5% CO $_2$ in a humidified atmosphere.

Determination of efficacy of the studied compounds on L. major promastigotes (IC_{50})

Day 5 culture of *L. major* promastigotes were used to determine the drug efficacy (IC₅₀) using the MTT assay [20]. Briefly, *L. major* parasites were plated on 96-well cell culture plates at a density of 10^5 parasites/well and incubated with different concentrations of the respective drug solutions for 72 h. The concentration which inhibited parasitic growth by 50% (IC₅₀) was determined using the GraphPad Prism 5 software (version 5.03) [21] and the same software was utilized to estimate the statistical significance of drug effectiveness by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). P value of < 0.05 was considered to be significant in terms of drug efficacy.

In vitro drug susceptibility assay against intracellular L. major and L. donovani amastigotes

The drug susceptibility of Leishmania amastigotes was assessed as described previously [22]. Briefly, the murine macrophage (MØs) like tumor cell, RAW 264.7 were allowed to adhere to the experimental cover slips for 24 h at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. The adherent macrophages (MØs) were then infected either with L. donovani or with L. major promastigotes at a ratio of 1:10 (MØs: parasites) respectively and incubated further for 6 h at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. After 6 h, excess parasites were removed by washing with serum-free medium. This was considered to be the initial time point of infection (0 h) and the infection was allowed to progress overnight [22]. Subsequently, the infected cells were incubated with different concentrations of the drug solutions. Untreated macrophages which served as controls received RPMI complete medium and further processing of the infected macrophages commenced after 48 h.

Estimation of EC₅₀ value

The experimental cover slips consisting of infected MØs were washed with sterile PBS, dried, fixed with 100% methanol, stained with 15% Giemsa (Sigma) and examined under microscope. The amastigotes were counted and scored based on 100 MØs/cover slips. The values of the half maximal effective concentration (EC $_{50}$) for the drugs were calculated for intracellular amastigotes.

Evaluation of drug interactions on the growth of *L. major* **promastigote and construction of isobologram**

The "modified fixed-ratio isobologram" method was used to determine the nature of drug interaction in its effect on L. major promastigote growth [23] by the MTT assay. Briefly, fixed-ratio solutions consisting of two drugs, at ratios 5:0, 4:1, 3:2, 2:3, 1:4 and 0:5 were prepared for all the possible drug combinations (involving paromomycin, primaquine, netilmicin, suramin) and each such fixed ratio solution was serially diluted six times in twofold dilutions. Predetermined IC₅₀ values were used to decide the maximum concentrations of the individual drugs to ensure that the respective IC₅₀'s were located near the midpoint of the six point twofold dilutions series. Using the procedures described above the IC50 values were again determined for promastigotes, subsequent to an exposure of 72 h to the solutions consisting of the appropriate drug combinations [24]. The fractional inhibitory concentrations (FICs) were calculated as described by Berenbaum [25] and defined as:

$$FIC = (IC_{50})_{XY}/(IC_{50})_{X}$$

where $(IC_{50})_X$ is the IC_{50} value for drug X acting alone and $(IC_{50})_{XY}$ is the IC_{50} for the same drug in the presence of a suboptimal concentration of drug Y. FICs and sum FICs $(\sum FICs)$ [FIC for drug X+FIC for drug Y]) were calculated for all fixed-ratio solutions. FICs were used to construct classical isobolograms [26] and the mean of $\sum FICs$ were used to define the extent of synergism between the drugs. Presence of synergy was indicated by $\sum FIC \leq 0.5$; indifference or additive $(4 \geq \sum FIC > 0.5)$; whereas antagonism $\sum FIC$ of > 4 [27].

In vitro assessment of drug interactions for intracellular amastigotes

In vitro drug interactions for intracellular $L.\ major$ and $L.\ donovani$ amastigotes were assessed by the "modified fixed-ratio isobologram method" [23] using the amastigote–macrophage model. Possible drug combinations were selected on the basis of their previously determined interactions against promastigotes and their efficacy against intracellular amastigotes. Drug activity was determined from the percentage of infected macrophages after treatment in relation to the non-treated infected macrophages after methanol fixation and Giemsa staining of the experimental cover slips. EC_{50} were then determined on intracellular amastigotes, subsequent to an exposure of 48 h to solutions consisting of appropriate drug combinations. Then FICs were calculated to construct classical isobolograms [24, 25].

Results

Drug screening against Leishmania **promastigotes** and amastigotes

Drugs which were not lethal to the parasite even at concentrations in excess of 1500 μ M were considered ineffective (NE) whereas all drugs whose IC₅₀ values exceeded 400 μ M were not considered for further experiments (Additional file 1).

No antileishmanial activity was found for the drugs triamterene (P=0.0891), vidarabine (P=0.1347), kanamycin (P=0.0703), tobramycin (P=0.1222), framycetin (P=0.0836), lidocaine (P=0.0632) whereas for the drugs acarbose and gentamicin, the respective IC₅₀ values were in excess of 400 μ M. These drugs were excluded from further downstream experiments. Paromomycin whose IC₅₀ (50±2.5 μ M for *L. donovani* promastigotes) had been previously reported [28] was used as a control for all experiments. In case of *L. major* promastigotes the IC₅₀ for paromomycin was determined to be 40.8±3.6 μ M (P<0.0001), which corroborated with its previously reported value [29]. Potential antileishmanial activity against *L. major* promastigotes was exhibited by

primaquine, suramin and netilmicin with IC $_{50}$ values of 92.9 \pm 4.7 μ M (P < 0.0001), 90.0 \pm 5.0 μ M (P < 0.0001), 46.8 \pm 2.3 μ M (P < 0.0001) respectively.

The drugs primaquine, suramin and netilmicin were next screened against intracellular amastigotes of *L. donovani* and *L. major* strains using RAW 264.7 as host cell. In addition the efficacy of curcumin against intracellular amastigotes was also estimated. In every experiment the percentage of infected MØs ranged from 80 to 95% and the number of amastigotes/100 MØs ranged from 89 to 97.

The EC₅₀ values determined for paromomycin, primaquine, netilmicin, suramin and curcumin involving intracellular L. major (5ASKH) and L. donovani (AG83) amastigotes were 7.5 (± 2.3); 11.8 (0.8); 12.3 (2.3); 4.6 (0.8); 8.1 (1.1) µM and 8.4 (3.3); 6.0 (1.2); 8.6 (1.4); 4.1 (0.3); 12.6 (1.5) μM, respectively (Table 1, in every case P<0.0001). The value obtained for paromomycin corroborated well with the previously determined report [30]. Best results were obtained for suramin with the lowest EC₅₀ value. However, on testing with L. donovani parasite (BS13), a rise in EC_{50} values was observed in the case of all the drugs [paromomycin-10.4 \pm 1.4 (P<0.0001); netilmicin-21.1 \pm 3.4 (P < 0.0001);suramin-9.1 \pm 2.3 (P < 0.0001)] with the exception of curcumin $(11.6 \pm 2.5;$ P<0.0001). In the case of primaguine the drug exhibited suboptimal efficacy up to 40 μ m for BS13 (P = 0.0012).

Drug synergism in Leishmania **promastigotes** and amastigotes

Our next step was to estimate synergism between selected drugs using the "modified fixed-ratio isobologram" method. *L. major* promastigotes were initially used to estimate the interactions for all possible drug combinations involving paromomycin, primaquine, netilmicin, and suramin. Consistent synergism was observed only between suramin–paromomycin (Table 2, Additional file 2). For intracellular amastigotes, consistent

Table 1 Susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes of *L. major* and *L. donovani* towards the respective drugs, represented by EC₅₀ values

Serial no.	Drug/compound	EC ₅₀ ±SD (μM)		
		5ASKH ^a	AG83 ^b	
1	Primaquine	11.8±0.8	6.0 ± 1.2	
2	Paromomycin	7.5 ± 2.3	8.4 ± 3.3	
3	Netilmicin	12.3 ± 2.3	8.6 ± 1.4	
4	Suramin	4.6 ± 0.8	4.1 ± 0.3	
5	Curcumin	8.1 ± 1.1	12.6 ± 1.5	

Results are given as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments

^a L. major; ^b L. donovani

Table 2 Assessment of in vitro drug interactions against *L. major* (5ASKH strain) promastigotes

Serial no.	Drug combination	Mean ∑ FICs ^a	Nature of the interaction
1	Paromomycin–suramin	0.41 ± 0.05	Synergism
2	Netilmicin-suramin	0.69 ± 0.29	Indifference
3	Primaquine-suramin	0.90 ± 0.08	Indifference
4	Primaquine-netilmicin	1.14 ± 0.2	Indifference
5	Primaquine-paromo- mycin	1.68 ± 0.15	Indifference
6	Paromomycin–netilm- icin	2.6 ± 0.4	Indifference

Results are given as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments

synergism was observed for the drug combinations suramin–paromomycin (Table 3, Additional file 2, mean \sum FICs—*L. major*: 0.34 \pm 0.04; *L. donovani*: 0.38 \pm 0.07), suramin–netilmicin (Table 3, Additional file 2, 0.40 \pm 0.06; 0.41 \pm 0.05) and curcumin–netilmicin (Table 3, Additional file 3, 0.23 \pm 0.15; 0.35 \pm 0.13). For BS13 *Leishmania* parasite, synergism was confirmed for paromomycin–suramin (0.39 \pm 0.09) and recorded a relative decline for netilmicin–curcumin (0.51 \pm 0.03).

Discussion

Given the exorbitant costs in drug development and limited funds available worldwide for neglected tropical diseases, one strategy would be to re-purpose clinically available drugs as anti-leishmanials or utilize abundant natural compounds. Netilmicin (antibiotic) [31], suramin (antitrypanosomatid) and primaquine (antimalarial) [32] are available in the market and curcumin is an abundant natural compound present in turmeric.

Considerable progress has been made in the treatment of VL by the single or suitable co-adminstration of amphotericin B, miltefosine and paromomycin [27]. Paromomycin has been applied as a topical formulation both singly (20-30%) and in combination with gentamicin (0.5%) for the alleviation of CL with approximately 80% curative rates [33-35]. Netilmicin also belongs to the same class of aminoglycoside drugs. Although, netilmicin exhibits antileishmanial action for the L. major parasites, animal model studies indicate its reduced efficacy against CL (as a topical application) relative to paromomycin [36]. Again, its efficacy against L. donovani, promastigotes were not uniform for all the strains tested in this work. Thus, our work appears to indicate that the most effective action of netilmicin against all forms of the parasite could be in synergistic combination with curcumin.

Suramin has found extensive use in *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense* infections causative of African trypanosomiasis and this polysulphonated naphthylamine based compound inhibits glycolytic proteins in the parasite [37]. The antileishmanial efficacy of suramin extends to the promastigote and amastigote stages, exhibiting good efficacy against all the parasitic strains tested in this work.

Synergism tested between various drugs as combination therapy offers several advantages which includes reduced dosage of both drugs, reduced treatment time, less toxicity due to lower dosage and the possibility of delaying the emergence of resistant strains. Reduction in dosage and duration of therapy could lower the financial burden associated with the treatment, increasing its accessibility. Suramin–paromomycin exhibited consistent synergism for all of the *Leishmanial* parasites studied here.

In conclusion, we report the antileishmanial activity of the aminoglycoside netilmicin and the

Table 3 Assessment of in vitro drug interactions against intracellular Leishmania amastigotes

Drug combination	$\textbf{Mean FIC}^{\textbf{a}}_{\textbf{S}}\textbf{Mean} \sum \textbf{FICs}^{\textbf{a}}$		Interaction type	
	5ASKH	AG83	5ASKH	AG83
Suramin–paromomycin	0.34±.04	0.38 ± 0.07	Synergism	Synergism
Suramin–netilmicin	0.40 ± 0.06	0.41 ± 0.05	Synergism	Synergism
Curcumin-suramin	0.48 ± 0.08	1.18 ± 0.24	Synergism	Indifference
Curcumin-netilmicin	0.23 ± 0.15	0.35 ± 0.13	Synergism	Synergism
Curcumin-paromomycin	0.34 ± 0.15	0.61 ± 0.35	Synergism	Indifference
Curcumin-primaquine	0.63 ± 0.15	0.90 ± 0.38	Indifference	Indifference
Paromomycin–netilmicin	2.1 ± 0.12	1.8 ± 0.18	Indifference	Indifference
Primaquine-paromomycin	1.5 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.04	Indifference	Indifference

Results are given as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments

^a Mean of \sum FICs were used to define the nature of the interactions between the drugs against *L. major* (5ASKH strain) promastigotes

^a Mean of \sum FICs were used to define the nature of the interactions between the drugs against intracellular *L. major* (5ASKH strain) and *L. donovani* (AG83 strain) amastigotes

anti-trypanosomatid suramin, with synergism observed between paromomycin-suramin and netilmicin-curcumin (for some strains).

Limitations

These results have to be confirmed in animal models.

Additional files

Additional file 1. Drug Susceptibility of *Leishmania major* promastigotes towards selected drugs. The drugs triamterene, framycetin, kanamycin, tobramycin, acarbose, gentamicin, lidocaine, primaquine, paromomycin, suramin and netilmicin were screened for their antileishmanial efficacy (IC_{50}) .

Additional file 2. Representative isobolograms of in vitro interactions between the respective drugs. Representative isobolograms for netilm-icin–suramin and paromomycin–suramin.

Additional file 3. Representative isobolograms of in vitro interactions between the respective drugs. Representative isobolograms for curcumin–netilmicin.

Abbreviations

CL: cutaneous; MCL: mucocutaneous; VL: visceral; KA: kala-azar; ANOVA: analysis of variance; MØs: murine macrophage; FBS: fetal bovine serum; FICs: fractional inhibitory concentrations; NE: ineffective.

Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RB, SK. Performed the experiments: SK. Analyzed the data: RB, SK. Contributed reagents/materials: YPK, JD. Wrote the paper: RB, SK. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Crystallography and Molecular Biology Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Sector 1, Block AF, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064, India. ² Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India. ³ Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700032, India.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Subrata Adak (Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata) and Dr. Madhumita Manna (Bidhannagar College, Kolkata) for providing the authors with *Leishmania major* and *Leishmania donovani* strains. Dr. Malini Sen (Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata) is acknowledged for the kind gift of Murine Macrophage (MØs) like tumor cell, RAW 264.7 cells. We are grateful to Prof. Mitali Chatterjee (Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research) for providing us with the *L. donovani* (BS13) parasite. Sritama De Sarkar (Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research) is also acknowledged for assistance with regard to the parasite. We are thankful to Prof. Partha Saha (Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata) for providing us with the infrastructure to perform some of the experiments and to Dr. Nanda Ghoshal (Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata) for her invaluable inputs. Dr. Sushanta Debnath (Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata), Mr. Saikat Mukherjee (Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata) are acknowledged for their technical support.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

All data contained within the manuscript.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Funding

The work reported in the manuscript has been supported by the intramural grants from Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India (Projects of SINP:-MSACR [XII-R&D-SIN-5.04-0102]) and Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India (Vide letter from Co-ordinator, DBT-RA program May 16, 2016). S.K. is the recipient of scholarship from DBT, India.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 February 2018 Accepted: 15 May 2018 Published online: 21 May 2018

References

- Croft SL, Sundar S, Fairlamb AH. Drug resistance in leishmaniasis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2006;19(1):111–26.
- Bhargava P, Singh R. Developments in diagnosis and antileishmanial drugs. Inter discip Perspect Infect Dis. 2012;2012:626838.
- Ostyn B, Malaviya P, Hasker E, Uranw S, Singh RP, Rijal S, Sundar S, Dujardin JC, Boelaert M. Retrospective quarterly cohort monitoring for patients with visceral leishmaniasis in the Indian subcontinents: outcomes of a pilot project. Trop Med Int Health. 2013;18(6):725–33.
- Diro E, Lynen L, Ritmeijer K, Boelaert M, Hailu A, Griensven JV. Visceral leishmaniasis and hiv coinfection in East Africa. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8(6):e2869.
- Harhay MO, Olliaro PL, Costa DL, Costa CHN. Urban parasitology: visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil. Trends Parasitol. 2011;27(9):403–9.
- World Health Organization. Cutaneous leishmaniasis, Afghanistan. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2002;77:246.
- Sundar S, More DK, Singh MK, Singh VP, Sharma S, Makharia A, Kumar PC, Murray HW. Failure of pentavalent antimony in visceral leishmaniasis in India: report from the center of the Indian epidemic. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31(4):1104–7.
- Khanra S, Sarraf NR, Das S, Das AK, Roy S, Manna M. Genetic markers for antimony resistant clinical isolates differentiation from Indian kala-azar. Acta Trop. 2016;164:177–84.
- 9. Sundar S. Drug resistance in Indian visceral leishmaniasis. Trop Med Int Health. 2001;6:849–54.
- 10. Moore EM, Lockwood DN. Treatment of visceral leishmaniasis. J Glob Infect Dis. 2010;2(2):151–8.
- Purkait B, Kumar A, Nandi N, Sardar AH, Das S, Kumar S, Pandey K, Ravidas V, Kumar M, De T, et al. Mechanism of amphotericin B resistance in clinical isolates of *Leishmania donovani*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(2):1031–41.
- Khanra S, Sarraf NR, Das AK, Roy S, Manna M. Miltefosine resistant field isolate from Indian kala-azar patient shows similar phenotype in experimental infection. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):10330.
- Baquedano Y, Alcolea V, Toro MA, Gutiérrez KJ, Nguewa P, Font M, Moreno E, Espuelas S, Jiménez-Ruiz A, Palop JA, et al. Novel heteroaryl selenocyanates and diselenides as potent antileishmanial agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60(6):3802–12.
- Sharma R, Pandey AK, Shivahare R, Srivastava K, Gupta S, Chauhan PM. Triazino indole–quinoline hybrid: a novel approach to antileishmanial agents. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2014;24(1):298–301.
- Insuasty B, Ramírez J, Becerra D, Echeverry C, Quiroga J, Abonia R, Robledo SM, Vélez ID, Upegui Y, Muñoz JA, et al. An efficient synthesis of new caffeine-based chalcones, pyrazolines and pyrazolo[3,4-b][1,4] diazepines as potential antimalarial, antitrypanosomal and antileishmanial agents. Eur J Med Chem. 2015;93:401–13.
- Ferreira SB, Costa MS, Boechat N, Bezerra RJ, Genestra MS, Canto-Cavalheiro MM, Kover WB, Ferreira VF. Synthesis and evaluation of new difluoromethyl azoles as antileishmanial agents. Eur J Med Chem. 2007;42(11–12):1388–95.

- 17. Waugh B, Ghosh A, Bhattacharyya D, Ghoshal N, Banerjee R. In silico work flow for scaffold hopping in *Leishmania*. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7:802.
- Fouladvand M, Barazesh A, Tahmasebi R. Evaluation of in vitro antileishmanial activity of curcumin and its derivatives "gallium curcumin, indium curcumin and diacethyle curcumin". Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2013;17(24):3306–8.
- Otoguro K, Ishiyama A, Namatame M, Nishihara A, Furusawa T, Masuma R, Shiomi K, Takahashi Y, Yamada H, Omura S. Selective and potent in vitro antitrypanosomal activities of ten microbial metabolites. J Antibiot. 2008;61(6):372–8.
- Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 1983;65(1–2):55–63.
- 21. Mukherjee S, Mukherjee B, Mukhopadhyay R, Naskar K, Sundar S, Dujardin JC, Das AK, Roy S. Imipramine is an orally active drug against both antimony sensitive and resistant *Leishmania donovani* clinical isolates in experimental infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(12):e1987.
- Sen S, Roy K, Mukherjee S, Mukhopadhyay R, Roy S. Restoration of IFNYR subunit assembly, IFNy signaling and parasite clearance in *Leishmania* donovani infected macrophages: role of membrane cholesterol. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(9):e1002229.
- 23. Fivelman QL, Adagu IS, Warhurst DC. Modified fixed-ratio isobologram method for studying in vitro interactions between atovaquone and proguanil or dihydroartemisinin against drug-resistant strains of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48(11):4097–102.
- Peyron C, Benhida R, Bories C, Loiseau PM. Synthesis and in vitro antileishmanial activity of 5-substituted-2-deoxyuridine derivatives. Bioorg Chem. 2005;33(6):439–47.
- 25. Berenbaum MC. A method for testing for synergy with any number of agents. J Infect Dis. 1978;137(2):122–30.
- Hallander HO, Dornbusch K, Gezelius L, Jacobson K, Karlsson I. Synergism between aminoglycosides and cephalosporins with antipseudomonal activity: interaction index and killing curve method. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1982;22(5):743–52.

- Seifert K, Munday J, Syeda T, Croft SL. In vitro interactions between sitamaquine and amphotericin B, sodium stibogluconate, miltefosine, paromomycin and pentamidine against Leishmania donovani. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66(4):850–4.
- 28. Jhingran A, Chawla B, Saxena S, Barrett MP, Madhubala R. Paromomycin: uptake and resistance in *Leishmania donovani*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2009;164(2):111–7.
- Fernández-Rubio C, Campbell D, Vacas A, Ibañez E, Moreno E, Espuelas S, Calvo A, Palop JA, Plano D, Sanmartin C, et al. Leishmanicidal activities of novel methylseleno-imidocarbamates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(9):5705–13.
- Roy K, Das S, Mondal S, Roy AK, Bera T. The *in Vitro* effect of clarithromycin on amastigote of *Leishmania Donovani*. Int J Drug Dev Res. 2013;5(3):425–31.
- Miller GH, Arcieri G, Weinstein MJ, Waitz JA. Biological activity of netilmicin, a broad-spectrum semisynthetic aminoglycoside antibiotic. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1976;10(5):827–36.
- 32. Baird JK, Hoffman SL. Primaquine therapy for malaria. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39(9):1336–45.
- Ben Salah A, Ben Messaoud N, Guedri E, Zaatour A, Ben Alaya N, Bettaieb J, Gharbi A, Belhadj Hamida N, Boukthir A, Chlif S, et al. Topical paromomycin with and without gentamicin for cutaneous leishmaniasis. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(6):524–32.
- Gillin FD, Diamond LS. Inhibition of clonal growth of *Giardia lamblia* and *Entamoeba histolytica* by metronidazole, quinacrine, and other antimicrobial agents. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1981;8(4):305–16.
- 35. Davis BD. Mechanism of bactericidal action of aminoglycosides. Microbiol Rev. 1987;51(3):341–50.
- 36. El-On J, Jacobs GP, Witztum E, Greenblatt CL. Development of topical treatment for cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania major* in experimental animals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1984;26(5):745–51.
- Babokhov P, Sanyaolu AO, Oyibo WA, Fagbenro-Beyioku AF, Iriemenam NC. A current analysis of chemotherapy strategies for the treatment of human African trypanosomiasis. Pathog Glob Health. 2013;107(5):242–52.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

