RESEARCH NOTE

Open Access



Comparison of a new wrist-worn accelerometer with a commonly used triaxial accelerometer under free-living conditions

Sachiko Sasaki^{1,2}, Shigekazu Ukawa^{1,3}, Emiko Okada^{1,4}, Zhao Wenjing¹, Tomoko Kishi¹, Ai Sakamoto¹ and Akiko Tamakoshi^{1*}

Abstract

Objective: The Life Microscope is a new wristband-based life recorder system that can identify various human movements. We aimed to compare physical activity data captured using the Life Microscope with data from a commonly used accelerometer.

Results: Twenty-nine participants (34.6 ± 12.5 years) wore both the Life Microscope and an Active Style Pro accelerometer for 7 days. Physical activity categories were calculated by converting daily accelerometer data output into time spent at sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous physical activity. Correlations between the physical activity category and step count data obtained from the two accelerometers were assessed using Pearson correlations, paired t-tests, intra-class coefficients, and the Bland–Altman method. Our results showed good reliability between the physical activity patterns and daily step counts obtained using both devices. Bland–Altman analysis showed good agreement between data from both accelerometers. In conclusion, both accelerometers were comparable in their measurement of step counts and time spent in different physical activity intensities under free-living conditions, and either could be used for population studies.

Keywords: Accelerometer, Physical activity, Motion sensor, Assessment, Reliability, Motor activity

Introduction

The benefits of physical activity have been well documented. Daily physical activity protects against many conditions, including cardiovascular disease [1], type 2 diabetes mellitus [2], and mortality [3, 4]. Large epidemiological studies often use self-reported assessments of daily physical activity; however, these are limited in their accuracy and may result in misclassification of data [5]. An accelerometer is an objective, small, non-invasive tool that has the potential to measure day-by-day or minuteby-minute variations in physical activity [6].

Many studies have used accelerometers attached to the hip because at this location the accelerometer can detect most major body motions, with the exception of

*Correspondence: tamaa@med.hokudai.ac.jp

¹ Department of Public Health Sciences, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Kita 15, Nishi 7, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article upper limb movements [7]. However, a hip sensor must be attached to a belt or worn over clothing, which may influence wear time compliance. Recently, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and UK Biobank studies have changed their placement of accelerometers from the traditional location on the hip to the wrist [8]. The advantages of wearing an accelerometer on the wrist include good wear time compliance. The potential of wrist accelerometers for physical activity assessment has stimulated advancements in technology so that wrist accelerometers can now detect various intensities of activity.

The Life Microscope (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), a new wristband-based life recorder system, utilises a triaxial accelerometer that detects vertical, anteroposterior, and mediolateral accelerations. The Life Microscope can identify various human movements including working at a desk, eating, commuting, standing, and locomotion by



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

detecting changes in activity; it can provide time series data in controlled environments over a 2 week period [9]. It is simple, fast, and easy to use and allows Bluetooth data transfer. Moreover, it can generate a visual representation of the wearer's lifestyle by plotting their level of activity over the course of a day. However, no published studies have assessed whether data obtained using this new device are comparable to data obtained with conventional accelerometers in free-living conditions. The aim of this study was to compare the outputs of the Life Microscope with those of a commonly used accelerometer; the parameters assessed were daily step counts and the time spent at various activity intensities (sedentary, light, moderate, or vigorous) under free-living conditions.

Main text

Materials and methods

A convenience sample of 30 volunteers (15 males and 15 females) from the Hokkaido University and Hokkaido Bunkyo University student, faculty, and staff population participated in this study and 29 (15 males and 14 females) provided complete accelerometer data. Previous research comparing a new accelerometer with the Actigraph (ActiGraph Inc, USA) found a high correlation between the daily step counts obtained from both devices (r=0.85 or greater) [10, 11]. Assuming that a high correlation could also be obtained in the current study, the required sample size when $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.10$ is 12 people. Fifteen male and 15 female volunteers were included to take into account sex-differences in the measured values. The mean \pm SD values of the study participants for age, stature, body mass, and body mass index were, respectively, 31.9 ± 10.4 years, 172.9 ± 5.7 cm, 64.4 ± 6.3 kg, and 21.6 ± 2.7 kg/m² for males and 37.5 ± 14.3 years, 159.0 ± 6.1 cm, 53.2 ± 6.0 kg, and 21.1 ± 2.3 kg/m² for females. Demographic and anthropometric information were recorded, and we confirmed that none of the participants had any injury or disease that would prevent them from undertaking regular physical activity. This study was conducted with the written informed consent of all participants, and was approved by the Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine institutional ethical board for epidemiological studies (Reference Number: 15-001).

We compared physical activity intensity categories and daily step counts obtained using the Life Microscope and the Active Style Pro HJA-750C (Omron Healthcare). The Life Microscope is an accelerometer that is worn on a wristband. It measures $21 \times 39 \times 15.5$ mm, weighs 22 g, and records anteroposterior (x-axis), mediolateral (y-axis), and vertical (z-axis) accelerations with a resolution of 11.7 mG at 20 Hz [12]. The Life Microscope can store data for up to 14 days and data are uploaded from the device to a personal computer over a wireless network. The epoch interval for the accelerometer was set at 1 min. The Active Style Pro HJA-750C is a triaxial accelerometer that is worn on the hip. The Active Style Pro processes raw data using algorithms containing a specific equation, which have been validated with the Douglas Bag method in controlled environments [13, 14]. It measures $40 \times 52 \times 12$ mm, weighs 23 g, and is one of the most commonly used tri-axial accelerometers for physical activity assessment [15, 16]. The Active Style Pro records anteroposterior (x-axis), mediolateral (y-axis), and vertical (z-axis) accelerations with a resolution of 3 mG at 32 Hz and has the ability to classify physical activity into locomotive and sedentary activities [13, 14]. Before assessment, each accelerometer was calibrated according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Participants were asked to wear the Active Style Pro on the left side of their waist and the Life Microscope on their non-dominant wrist during all waking hours for 7 days, except while engaging in water activities and bathing. During the 7-day monitoring period, participants completed a daily diary to confirm their wearing time per day.

After 7 days, the data were downloaded and non-wear time was checked manually. After the initial data collection, because an error was found in the analysis software of the Active Style Pro (only for data regarding daily step counts) additional participants (11 males and 15 females) were re-recruited from Hokkaido Bunkyo University for daily step count analyses. Both accelerometers expressed the intensity of physical activity as metabolic equivalents (METs) using predictive equations [13, 17]. Physical activity patterns and the corresponding data from both accelerometers were classified into categories based on the METs for time spent at sedentary (1.1–1.5 METs), light (1.6–2.9 METs), moderate (3.0–5.9 METs), and vigorous (\geq 6.0 METs) physical activity per day [13, 18].

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP Proversion 12.2.0 for Macintosh (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Pearson correlations and paired t-tests were used to quantify the relationship between the Life Microscope and Active Style Pro-based data. Intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) were calculated to examine the relationship between data obtained with the Life Microscope and with the Active Style Pro. A concordance value of less than 0.60 indicates poor reliability, between 0.60 and 0.79 indicates moderate reliability, and greater than 0.80 reflects high reliability [10]. Finally, Bland–Altman plots were created to assess the level of agreement between the devices. Limits of agreement were set at ± 2 SD of the difference scores.

Table 1 Daily step counts and minutes spent at various physical activity intensities as assessed by the Life Microscope and the Active Style Pro

Life Microscope		Active Style Pro	
Steps/day	5048.7±2616.1	5152.8±2529.7	
Sedentary min/day	363.8 ± 79.4	388.5 ± 99.5	
Light min/day	248.7 ± 87.3	222.1 ± 77.4	
Moderate min/day	54.8 ± 34.1	86.9 ± 27.6	
Vigorous min/day	9.3 ± 10.2	6.6±9.6	

Table 2 Correlations and differences between data obtained with the Life Microscope and the Active Style Pro

Life Microscope vs Active Style Pro	Pearson correlations		Paired t-tests	ICC
	r	p-value	p-value	
Steps/day	0.98	< 0.001	0.35	0.98
Sedentary min/day	0.74	< 0.001	0.06	0.72
Light activity min/day	0.92	< 0.001	< 0.01	0.92
Moderate activity min/day	0.87	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.85
Vigorous activity min/day	0.90	< 0.001	< 0.01	0.89

Results

Data were recorded for a mean of 11.7 ± 2.6 h per day, and all participants exceeded 10 h of wear time per day. The step counts and time spent at various physical activity intensities obtained from the Life Microscope and Active Style Pro are presented in Table 1. Correlations between the data generated by the Life Microscope and Active Style Pro are presented in Table 2. There was a high correlation between the daily step counts obtained with the Life Microscope and Active Style Pro (r=0.98, p<0.001) and inter-monitor reliability was high (ICC=0.98). In addition, the Life Microscope was as consistent as the Active Style Pro in its step counts (mean difference=104.1 steps, p=0.35) and registered 2.0% fewer steps.

The correlations between the physical activity data obtained with the Life Microscope and Active Style Pro at each intensity level were moderate to high (r=0.74, p<0.001 when sedentary; r=0.92, p<0.001 for light activity; r=0.87, p<0.001 for moderate activity; and r=0.90, p<0.001 for vigorous activity). Although t-tests showed statistically significant differences between the time spent in various activity intensity categories as measured by the Life Microscope and the Active Style Pro (mean difference=26.6 min, p<0.001 for moderate activity; mean difference=22.1 min, p<0.001 for vigorous

activity), there was no difference in the time recorded as sedentary between the two monitors. Inter-rater reliability between the two monitors was also moderate to high for all physical activity categories, with ICCs of 0.72, 0.92, 0.85, and 0.89 for time spent in sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous activity, respectively.

A Bland–Altman plot showed good agreement between the Life Microscope and Active Style Pro physical activity measurements (Fig. 1). The mean differences between the step counts and time spent in sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous activity recorded by the two monitors were within the limits of agreement [19], and most data were within the limit of agreement bias.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated good correlations and reliability between physical activity data obtained with a commonly used accelerometer and a new, wristbandbased accelerometer in free-living conditions. Daily step counts measured by the Life Microscope were highly correlated the step counts recorded by the Active Style Pro, and the difference between the step counts from both accelerometers was small (2.0%). Such a small and consistent difference is unlikely to affect epidemiological research. Furthermore, the ICC was 98% indicating very good reliability. Therefore, we can conclude that the Life Microscope is a reliable device for measuring daily step counts. One important additional point in this study is that there was no statistically significant difference in time recorded as spent sedentary, which was the majority of the day's activities [20], and the concordance correlation coefficient between data from the Life Microscope and the Active Style Pro was moderate. The Active Style Pro has already been confirmed to accurately separate sedentary behaviour from other activities using accelerometer-based algorithms in controlled environments and in free-living conditions [13, 14] Our results indicate that the time spent sedentary obtained by these two devices can be considered comparable.

Although there was good reliability between the data on time spent in light, moderate, and vigorous intensity physical activity obtained by the Life Microscope and the Active Style Pro, there was a significant difference between the actual times measured by the two devices. This could arise from differences in instrument sensitivity thresholds. The raw data were different depending on the accelerometer used because the magnitude of the acceleration measured depends on the electrical and mechanical properties of the measuring device [21]. Although we confirmed the separation of locomotor activities by detecting the activity change points to split out time series data in controlled environments in a previous study [9], a difference in the acceleration sensitivity



thresholds may explain the discrepancies between the time spent in different activity intensities as measured by the two devices. Another reason for these differences may be the location of the accelerometer on the body. Results from previous studies suggest that the wrist may move differently than the hip during the same activity depending on what is in the hand; for example, the raw motion signature for the wrist may increase while standing holding a heavy bag or walking with a mobile phone [7, 22], which may lead to misclassification of physical activity patterns. Thus, although the results of the present study indicate that both devices are highly reliable and give well-correlated results, caution is needed when comparing outcomes and conclusions between studies that use different accelerometers. In conclusion, our study demonstrates good correlations and reliability in the physical activity patterns and daily step counts obtained using the Life Microscope, a new wristband-based accelerometer, and the Active Style Pro in healthy adults under free-living conditions. As epidemiological surveys move toward using wristbandbased accelerometers this study provides evidence that the Life Microscope is a suitable tool to use for assessment of physical activity in epidemiological research.

Limitations

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting our results. All of the participants in our study were healthy individuals; therefore, we cannot generalize our findings to other populations. Furthermore, the number of participants was small. However, based on our sample size calculations the number of participants was sufficient to detect strong correlations between the data sets.

Abbreviations

ICC: intra-class coefficients; METs: metabolic equivalents.

Authors' contributions

SK, SU and AT designed the study. SK, SU and EO were responsible for data collection. The preparation of data for analysis was performed by SK, EO and WZ. TK, SU and AS performed the statistical analyses in consultation with SK. SK drafted the manuscript. SU, EO, WZ, TK, AS and AT critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Public Health Sciences, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Kita 15, Nishi 7, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan. ² Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Human Science, Hokkaido Bunkyo University, 5-196-1 Kogane-chuo, Eniwa 061-1449, Japan. ³ Research Unit of Advanced Interdisciplinary Care Science, Osaka City University Graduate School of Human Life Science, Osaka, Japan. ⁴ Department of Nutritional Epidemiology and Shokuiku, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, 1-23-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8636, Japan.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the students, faculty and staff of Hokkaido University and Hokkaido Bunkyo University for their exceptional assistance with data collection.

Competing interests

The Life Microscope has not yet been commercially released. The Life Microscope equipment and the software for analyses were provided by Hitachi Ltd. They did not provide monetary funding and had no role in the study design, data collection, or decision to publish.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available because the equipment is currently in development, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted with the written informed consent of all participants, and was approved by the institutional ethical board for epidemiological studies of the Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine (Reference Number: 15-001).

Funding

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (Grant No. 15k21316).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 3 September 2018 Accepted: 11 October 2018 Published online: 20 October 2018

References

1. King DE, Mainous AG 3rd, Carnemolla M, Everett CJ. Adherence to healthy lifestyle habits in US adults, 1988–2006. Am J Med. 2009;122:528–34.

- Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. Lancet. 2012;380:219–29.
- Arem H, Moore SC, Patel A, Hartge P, de Gonzalez AB, Visvanathan K, Campbell PT, Freedman M, Weiderpass E, Adami HO, et al. Leisure time physical activity and mortality: a detailed pooled analysis of the doseresponse relationship. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175:959–67.
- Sallis JF, Saelens BE. Assessment of physical activity by self-report: status, limitations, and future directions. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2000;71:S1–14.
- Trost SG, McIver KL, Pate RR. Conducting accelerometer-based activity assessments in field-based research. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2005;37:S531–43.
- Mannini A, Intille SS, Rosenberger M, Sabatini AM, Haskell W. Activity recognition using a single accelerometer placed at the wrist or ankle. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2013;45:2193–203.
- Freedson P, Bowles HR, Troiano R, Haskell W. Assessment of physical activity using wearable monitors: recommendations for monitor calibration and use in the field. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2012;44:S1–4.
- Toshinori Miyoshi KK, Hiroyuki Kuriyama: A study on change point detection of activities from time series data of wrist-worn accelerometers. IEICI Technical Report 2010, PRMU 2010-53:83-88.
- De Craemer M, De Decker E, Santos-Lozano A, Verloigne M, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Deforche B, Cardon G. Validity of the Omron pedometer and the actigraph step count function in preschoolers. J Sci Med Sport. 2015;18:289–93.
- Tully MA, McBride C, Heron L, Hunter RF. The validation of Fitbit Zip physical activity monitor as a measure of free-living physical activity. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7:952.
- 12. Kawamoto K. Actigraphic detection of REM sleep based on respiratory rate estimation. J Med Bioeng. 2013;2:20–5.
- Ohkawara K, Oshima Y, Hikihara Y, Ishikawa-Takata K, Tabata I, Tanaka S. Real-time estimation of daily physical activity intensity by a triaxial accelerometer and a gravity-removal classification algorithm. Br J Nutr. 2011;105:1681–91.
- Oshima Y, Kawaguchi K, Tanaka S, Ohkawara K, Hikihara Y, Ishikawa-Takata K, Tabata I. Classifying household and locomotive activities using a triaxial accelerometer. Gait Posture. 2010;31:370–4.
- Kim J, Tanabe K, Yokoyama N, Zempo H, Kuno S. Objectively measured light-intensity lifestyle activity and sedentary time are independently associated with metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study of Japanese adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2013;10:30.
- Chen T, Narazaki K, Honda T, Chen S, Haeuchi Y, Nofuji YY, Matsuo E, Kumagai S. Tri-axial accelerometer-determined daily physical activity and sedentary behavior of suburban community-dwelling older Japanese adults. J Sports Sci Med. 2015;14:507–14.
- Yoshida J, Tsuji S, Tanaka T. New IT solution using human-oriented big data analytics. Hitachi Rev. 2014;63:13–7.
- Owen N, Healy GN, Matthews CE, Dunstan DW. Too much sitting: the population health science of sedentary behavior. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2010;38:105–13.
- Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet. 1986;1:307–10.
- Kohl HW, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, Kahlmeier S. Group LPASW: the pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. Lancet. 2012;380:294–305.
- Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2008;40:181–8.
- 22. Bort-Roig J, Gilson ND, Puig-Ribera A, Contreras RS, Trost SG. Measuring and influencing physical activity with smartphone technology: a systematic review. Sports Med. 2014;44:671–86.