CrossMark

# RESEARCH NOTE Open Access

# Probiotic supplements containing Lactobacillus reuteri does not affect the levels of matrix metalloproteinases and interferons in oral wound healing

Svante Twetman<sup>1\*</sup>, Anne Marie Lynge Pedersen<sup>1</sup> and Tulay Yucel-Lindberg<sup>2</sup>

# **Abstract**

**Objective:** The use of beneficial bacteria may stimulate wound healing. We performed a randomized, placebocontrolled double-blind cross-over study comprising ten healthy volunteers. The aim was to investigate the impact of topical and systemic applications of probiotic lactobacilli (*Lactobacillus reuteri*) on the healing of standardized wounds (punch biopsies) in the oral mucosa. The expression of selected matrix metalloproteinases (MMP'S) and interferons (IFN's) was analyzed with multiplex immunoassays in the wound exudate during the first healing week (day 2, 5 and 8).

**Results:** All participants completed the study and in all cases, the healing after the punch biopsies was uneventful. The concentrations of MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-3 decreased with time in both the test- and control group. The MMP levels were consistently lower during the probiotic intervention when compared with placebo but the differences were not statistically significant. Likewise, the concentrations if IFN-alpha2, IFN-beta and IFN-gamma decreased with time with no significant differences between the test and placebo interventions. Within the limitations of this pilot study, we were unable to demonstrate an influence of probiotic supplements containing *L. reuteri* on the concentrations of selected matrix metalloproteinases and interferons from mucosal wounds within 1 week after a standardized punch biopsy.

Trial registration Clinical Trials.gov Identifier NCT03210779. Date of registration: July 7, 2017

**Keywords:** Biopsy, Cytokines, Inflammation, Oral mucosa, Probiotics

# Introduction

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMP's) is a family of proteolytic enzymes basically involved in tissue remodeling, wound healing and inflammation [1]. MMP's are also suggested to play a role in biofilm-mediated oral diseases [2, 3] with elevated salivary concentrations in patients with active caries [4] and in patients with severe periodontitis [5]. The use of lactobacilliderived probiotic bacteria has in recent years emerged

as a novel strategy for the management of dysbiotic oral biofilms [6, 7] but little is known on its possible influence on the MMP's in the oral cavity. Staab and co-workers [8] reported reduced levels of MMP-3 in gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) from healthy volunteers following intake of milk containing *L. casei*. Significantly decreased levels of MMP-8 have also been shown in patients with chronic periodontitis after intake of lozenges with *Lactobacillus reuteri* when compared with placebo [9]. On the other hand, Jäsberg et al. [10] demonstrated increased concentrations of MMP-9 in healthy volunteers after a 4-week intake of *B. lactis* and *L. rhamnosus* GG. Interferon (IFN) is a group of signaling proteins produced and released by host

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Odontology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Nørre Allé 20, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: stwe@sund.ku.dk

Twetman *et al. BMC Res Notes* (2018) 11:759 Page 2 of 5

cells in response to the presence of pathogens or tissue damage. Previous research has indicated that probiotic treatment can decrease the expression of IFN-gamma from T-cells [11] and in saliva [12]. We have recently investigated *L. reuteri* in the context of oral wound healing and found elevated levels of ligand members of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily, as well as Interleukin 8 in the wound exudate after a standardized punch biopsy [13]. In this communication, the levels of MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-3, IFN-alpha2, IFN-beta and IFN-gamma are reported. The null hypothesis was that the concentrations would not differ from those obtained when exposed to placebo.

# Main text

#### Materials and methods

The study group consisted of 10 healthy volunteers of both sexes (8 females, 2 males). The mean age was 29.5 years (range 21–66 years) and all were employees or students at the University Hospital. The inclusion criteria were non-compromised general and oral health and no regular intake of pharmaceuticals with the exception of contraceptives. Exclusion criteria were (a) smoking, (b) any severe allergy or bleeding disorder, and (c) a regular intake probiotic products. All participants had a normal appearing oral mucosa and no signs of dental caries or periodontal disease.

# Study design

The study had a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind cross-over design. The protocol was approved by The Research Ethics Committee of the Capital Region of Denmark (H-16025546) and the study was registered at Clintrials.gov (NCT03210779). During a 1-week run-in period, the participants were assigned to let an active or placebo lozenge slowly dissolve in their mouth, two times per day. After 8 days, a biopsy was taken under local anesthesia containing adrenalin with aid of a standardized punch (4 mm diameter) in the free buccal oral mucosa in the upper left or right premolar region. After compression with cotton gauges for 30 min, the created wound was left uncovered and no sutures were used. The subjects were thoroughly instructed to continue with their assigned lozenges for another 8 days. In addition, they were asked to topically apply one drop of oil (probiotic or placebo) directly on the wound, once daily for 8 days with aid of a plastic micro-brush. No food or nutritional restrictions were given, and all subjects were asked to maintain their normal oral hygiene routines during the course of the study. After a 4-week washout period, all the procedures were repeated a second time with either the test or placebo lozenges/oil.

#### Intervention

The test lozenges (BioGaia ProDentis, BioGaia AB, Sweden) contained a mix of two probiotic strains, *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 and ATCC PTA 5289, with at least  $5\times10^8$  live bacteria of each strain per lozenge. The placebo lozenges had an identical composition, shape and taste, but without active bacteria. The test oil contained the same probiotic strains with a concentration of  $2\times10^8$  CFU/mL. The study products were provided in identical plastic containers, separated by a color code. The compliance was checked through a logbook that was filled in on a daily basis.

# Sample collection and biochemical assays

All subjects were recalled after 2, 5 and 8 days for checkups. The wound healing was assessed with a 4-level clinical score as described in Additional file 2. Exudate from the wounds was collected by sterile circular standard filter papers (Sialostrip®, ProFlow™ Inc., Amenityville, MY, USA) that were applied on top of the wound for 20 s. The volume of liquid was determined with a Periotron® 8000 (ProFlow). The filter papers were diluted in 150  $\mu$ L of PBS (pH 7.4) with 0.05% Tween-20, vortexed for 30 s and stored at -80 °C until further analysis. Commercial multiplex immunoassay kits (human inflammation panel, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) were used to determine the MMP and interferon levels in exudate samples, handled according to manufacturer's protocol. The analyzed enzymes (sensitivities in pg/mL, in parentheses) were MMP-1 (33.7), MMP-2 (39.7) and MMP-3 (28.5) and the cytokines were IFN-alpha2 (0.7), IFN-beta (2.0) and IFNgamma (0.05). Total protein concentration, expressed as mg/mL, was analyzed using Bio-Rad DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, Solna, Sweden). The protein levels were measured in duplicates and the levels of MMPs and IFNs were analyzed in single within one analytical run, as previously described [13].

# Statistical methods

All data were processed with the IBM SPSS software (version 25.0, Chicago, USA). The differences between groups were analyzed by Wilcoxon unpaired test. *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. The blinding was not unveiled until the statistical analyses were concluded.

# Results and discussion

All participants completed the study and in all cases, the healing after the punch biopsies was uneventful (Additional file 1). There were no statistically significant

Twetman *et al. BMC Res Notes* (2018) 11:759 Page 3 of 5

Table 1 Amount of wound exudate and concentration of total protein and selected MMP's in wound exudate collected 2, 5 and 8 days after a standardized punch biopsy, with and without exposure to probiotic supplements

Variables	Day 2	Day 5	Day 8
Wound exudate	e, μL		
Test	2.01 (0.54)	1.05 (0.46)	0.40 (0.29)
Placebo	2.00 (0.65)	0.99 (0.80)	0.34 (0.21)
Total protein, m	ng/mL		
Test	1.50 (0.22)	0.53 (0.18)	0.30 (0.13)
Placebo	1.84 (0.28)	0.52 (0.06)	0.22 (0.04)
MMP-1, pg/mL	$\times 10^3$		
Test	3.51 (2.47)	3.05 (5.31)	0.86 (1.38)
Placebo	3.90 (3.84)	3.93 (4.77)	2.17 (5.85)
MMP-2, pg/mL	$\times 10^3$		
Test	1.64 (0.75)	0.51 (0.56)	0.04 (0.06)
Placebo	1.57 (1.43)	0.76 (0.92)	0.19 (0.48)
MMP-3, pg/mL	$\times 10^3$		
Test	1.06 (0.71)	0.67 (0.44)	0.16 (0.21)
Placebo	1.33 (1.02)	0.73 (0.62)	0.43 (1.20)

Values denote mean and standard deviation (SD)

Table 2 Concentration of selected interferons (pg/mL) in wound exudate collected 2, 5 and 8 days after a standardized punch biopsy, with and without exposure to probiotic supplements

Variables	Day 2	Day 5	Day 8
IFN-alpha2			
Test	23.5 (15.1)	13.7 (8.4)	4.2 (4.0)
Placebo	30.3 (7.7)	17.6 (19.0)	11.5 (25.3)
IFN-beta			
Test	20.2 (13.5)	14.0 (9.0)	4.1 (2.0)
Placebo	24.2 (6.7)	11.6 (7.6)	6.7 (9.2)
IFN-gamma			
Test	9.0 (6.3)	5.0 (4.3)	0.1 (0.2)
Placebo	11.4 (4.0)	4.2 (4.5)	1.9 (5.8)

Values denote mean and standard deviation (SD)

differences in the oral wound healing pattern between test and placebo (Additional file 2). The mean concentration of total protein and the selected MMP's are shown in Table 1. The amount of wound exudate, as well as the concentration of total protein, decreased with time in a similar way in both groups. The MMP levels were consistently lower during the probiotic intervention when compared with placebo but the differences were not statistically significant. The mean concentrations of IFN-alpha2, IFN-beta and IFN-gamma are presented in

Table 2. Also here, the measured levels decreased with time but with no significant differences between the test and placebo interventions.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the possible impact of topical and systemic administration of probiotic supplements on a panel of inflammatory mediators related to oral wound healing. In particular, data on the concentration of MMP's and IFN's in the exudate have not previously been reported. We used a well-controlled cross-over design with run-in and washout periods of 1 and 4 weeks, respectively. Importantly, the participating subjects were exposed to the test or placebo interventions in a randomized order. Furthermore, the volunteers were healthy and well-fed and the compliance with the study protocol was excellent [13]. As we were unable to demonstrate any significant differences in the amounts of MMP's and IFN's between the two interventions, the null hypothesis was accepted. The results remained unaltered when the concentrations were related to the total amount of protein in the samples and to the output (volume/time) of the exudate.

The healing of oral mucosal wounds follows a welldescribed pathway of hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation and remodeling, regulated by a complex network of growth factors, cytokines and chemokines [14]. Interestingly, laboratory data indicate that IFN-gamma may regulate MMP's involved in connective tissue turnover in the presence of TNF-alpha [15]. Previous clinical studies have suggested that beneficial bacteria may accelerate the healing of surgical wounds as well as burns and diabetic foot ulcers [16-19]. The precise mechanism of action is not fully known but a systemic influence on the immune response by balancing pro- and anti-inflammatory agents has been described [20, 21]. Based on previous research, we had hoped to unveil decreased amounts of MMP-3 [8] and IFN-gamma [12] in connection with the probiotic intervention, albeit the former analyses were carried out in gingival crevicular fluid and saliva, respectively. The fact that we were unable to disclose these events in the present pilot study does not exclude the possibility that beneficial bacteria can play a role in oral inflammation. We noticed a tendency towards lower levels of MMP's after 8 days in the probiotic group but the limited number of participants and the large inter-individual variations recorded in the exudates made the study underpowered with respect to the biochemical variables. Another open question is whether or not there is a doserelationship between exposure of oral probiotics and the expression of inflammatory mediators in saliva or gingival crevicular fluid. Thus, an expanded clinical trial with focus on recurrent or chronic oral wounds could be justified as such wounds are prevalent and a therapeutic challenge in an aging population.

Twetman *et al. BMC Res Notes* (2018) 11:759 Page 4 of 5

#### Conclusion

Within the limitations of this study, we were unable to demonstrate an influence of probiotic supplements containing *L. reuteri* on the concentrations of selected MMP's and IFN's in the wound exudate within 1 week after a standardized punch biopsy.

#### Limitations

This was a pilot study and the sample size ( $\alpha$ =0.05 and  $\beta$ =0.2) was estimated on clinical endpoints (healing score, pain and discomfort) rather than on any biochemical endpoint. The data were obtained from the healing of fresh oral wounds and the findings may not be generalized to chronic wounds. Compliance was not verified by primer-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses of *L. reuteri* in the wound exudate.

# **Additional files**

**Additional file 1.** Oral wound healing 2, 5 and 8 days after a standardized punch biopsy.

**Additional file 2.** Distribution of clinical healing scores after a standardized punch biopsy in the oral mucosa with exposure to probiotic supplements or placebo.

#### **Abbreviations**

CFU: colony forming units; GCF: gingival crevicular fluid; IFN: interferone; MMP: matrix metalloproteinase; TNF: tumour necrosis factor.

# Authors' contributions

Study conception and design: ST. Data collection and analyses: ST, AML, TL. Statistical analyses: ST. Drafting: ST, TL. Critical discussion and manuscript revision: ST, TL, AML. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Odontology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Nørre Allé 20, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark. <sup>2</sup> Division of Periodontology, Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Box 4064, 141 04 Huddinge, Sweden.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the participants who volunteered to take part in this study.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during the current study is subjected to further analysis but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

The protocol was approved by The Research Ethics Committee of the Capital Region of Denmark (H-16025546) and was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Helsinki declaration. All participants provided written informed consent prior to inclusion.

#### **Funding**

The study was supported by a grant from the Danish Dental Association.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 September 2018 Accepted: 22 October 2018 Published online: 25 October 2018

#### References

- Sorsa T, Tjäderhane L, Salo T. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in oral diseases. Oral Dis. 2004;10:311–8.
- Chaussain-Miller C, Fioretti F, Goldberg M, Menashi S. The role of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in human caries. J Dent Res. 2006;85:22–32.
- de Morais EF, Pinheiro JC, Leite RB, Santos PPA, Barboza CAG, Freitas RA. Matrix metalloproteinase-8 levels in periodontal disease patients: a systematic review. J Periodontal Res. 2018;53:156–63.
- Hedenbjörk-Lager A, Bjørndal L, Gustafsson A, Sorsa T, Tjäderhane L, Åkerman S, Ericson D. Caries correlates strongly to salivary levels of matrix metalloproteinase-8. Caries Res. 2015;49:1–8.
- Zhang L, Li X, Yan H, Huang L. Salivary matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-8 as a biomarker for periodontitis: a PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine. 2018;97:e9642.
- Gruner D, Paris S, Schwendicke F. Probiotics for managing caries and periodontitis: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Dent. 2016;48:16–25.
- Twetman S, Jørgensen MR, Keller MK. Fifteen years of probiotic therapy in the dental context—what has been achieved? J Calif Dent Assoc. 2017;45:539–45.
- Staab B, Eick S, Knöfler G, Jentsch H. The influence of a probiotic milk drink on the development of gingivitis: a pilot study. J Clin Periodontol. 2009;36:850–6.
- İnce G, Gürsoy H, İpçi ŞD, Cakar G, Emekli-Alturfan E, Yılmaz S. Clinical and biochemical evaluation of lozenges containing *Lactobacillus reuteri* as an adjunct to non-surgical periodontal therapy in chronic periodontitis. J Periodontol. 2015;86:746–54.
- Jäsberg H, Tervahartiala T, Sorsa T, Söderling E, Haukioja A. Probiotic intervention influences the salivary levels of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP)-1 in healthy adults. Arch Oral Biol. 2018;85:58–63.
- So JS, Song MK, Kwon HK, Lee CG, Chae CS, Sahoo A, Jash A, Lee SH, Park ZY, Im SH. *Lactobacillus casei* enhances type II collagen/glucosaminemediated suppression of inflammatory responses in experimental osteoarthritis. Life Sci. 2011;88:358–66.
- Palumbo P, Lombardi F, Evtoski Z, Siragusa G, Kapaj A, Giuliani M, Cifone MG, Cinque B. Potential molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying the anti-inflammatory and anti-tumor properties of probiotics: our experience. Cancer Stud Ther J. 2017;2:1–4.
- Twetman S, Keller MK, Lee L, Yucel-Lindberg T, Pedersen AML. Effect of probiotic lozenges containing *Lactobacillus reuteri* on oral wound healing: a pilot study. Benef Microbes. 2018;4:1–6.
- Morand DN, Davideau JL, Clauss F, Jessel N, Tenenbaum H, Huck O. Cytokines during periodontal wound healing: potential application for new therapeutic approach. Oral Dis. 2017;23:300–11.
- Zhou M, Zhang Y, Ardans JA, Wahl LM. Interferon-gamma differentially regulates monocyte matrix metalloproteinase-1 and -9 through tumor necrosis factor-alpha and caspase 8. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:45406–13.
- Huseini HF, Rahimzadeh G, Fazeli MR, Mehrazma M, Salehi M. Evaluation of wound healing activities of kefir products. Burns. 2012;38:719–23.
- 17. Valdéz JC, Peral MC, Rachid M, Santana M, Perdigón G. Interference of Lactobacillus plantarum with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in vitro and in infected burns: the potential use of probiotics in wound treatment. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2005;11:472–9.
- Sonal Sekhar M, Unnikrishnan MK, Vijayanarayana K, Rodrigues GS, Mukhopadhyay C. Topical application/formulation of probiotics: will it be a novel treatment approach for diabetic foot ulcer? Med Hypotheses. 2014;82:86–8.

Twetman *et al. BMC Res Notes* (2018) 11:759 Page 5 of 5

- Tsiouris CG, Kelesi M, Vasilopoulos G, Kalemikerakis I, Papageorgiou EG.
   The efficacy of probiotics as pharmacological treatment of cutaneous wounds: meta-analysis of animal studies. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2017;104:230–9.
- 20. de Vrese M, Schrezenmeir J. Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol. 2008;111:1–66.
- 21. Reid G, Younes JA, Van der Mei HC, Gloor GB, Knight R, Busscher HJ. Microbiota restoration: natural and supplemented recovery of human microbial communities. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011;9:27–38.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

# At BMC, research is always in progress.

**Learn more** biomedcentral.com/submissions

